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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider the notions of the Hamming weight and the algebraic normal form. We solve an open problem devoted to checking divisibility of the weight by $2^{k}$. We generalize the criterion for checking the evenness of the weight in two ways. Our main result states that for checking whether the Hamming weight of $f$ is divisible by $2^{k}, k>1$, it is necessary and sufficient to know its algebraic normal form accurate to an additive constant.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the notion of the weight of a boolean function. We solve an open problem from [1]: we formulate criteria for divisibility of the weight by powers of two.

In the sequel, the following notation will be used (see, i.e. [3]). A boolean function $f$ of $n$ variables is a function from $\mathbf{F}_{2}^{n}$ into $\mathbf{F}_{2}$. It can be expressed as a polynomial, called its algebraic normal form (ANF):

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{n}} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}, \quad c_{\alpha} \in \mathbf{F}_{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\oplus$ denotes the addition over $\mathbf{F}_{2}, \alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ and $x^{\alpha}=x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} x_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$.
Denote by $\mathrm{wt}(f)$ the (Hamming) weight of $f$, i.e. the size of the set $N_{f} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{x \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{n} \mid f(x)=\right.$ $1\}$. We say that $\mathrm{wt}(f)$ is divisible by $t$ if $\mathrm{wt}(f) \equiv 0(\bmod t)$.

As noticed in [1] divisibility of $\mathrm{wt}(f)$ by $2^{k}$ for some $k$ is a property of a function that is useful in coding theory. Assume we know the ANF of $f$ (1). Then it may be proved that

Proposition 1 ([1]). The weight of $f$ is divisible by 2 iff $c_{(1,1, \ldots, 1)}=0$.
Hence we do not need to know all $c_{\alpha}$. Logachev et al. [1] set a problem: can this property be somehow extended to other divisors of the form $2^{k}$ ?

They also conjectured that the following theorem by McEliece could be generalized with respect to the set of all non-zero ANF coefficients.

Proposition 2 ([2]). Suppose $f$ is a boolean function, and its algebraic normal form is a polynomial of degree $r$. Then $\mathrm{wt}(f)$ is divisible by $2^{\lceil m / r\rceil-1}$.

## 2 The main result of the paper

We find the relationship between the set of non-zero coefficients of algebraic normal form and divisibilty of the weight by $2^{k}$. We generalize the proposition 1 in two ways. We consider both ways and show that only trivial criteria may be formulated.

## 3 First generalization

Denote by $C_{f}$ the set of all $\alpha$ giving non-zero coefficients in the ANF (1). Also denote $(11 \ldots 1)$ by $\mathbf{1}$ and $(00 \ldots 0)$ by $\mathbf{0}$. With respect to this notation we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{wt}(f) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2) \Leftrightarrow C_{f} \subseteq \mathbf{F}_{2}^{n} \backslash\{\mathbf{1}\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

from Pr. 1 .
Let us give an appropriate definition.
We say that a set $G \subset \mathbf{F}_{2}^{n}$ is a strongly criterial with respect to the property $\mathfrak{C}$ if for any $f \in \mathbf{F}_{n}$ the following condition holds:

$$
f \text { has } \mathfrak{C} \Longleftrightarrow C_{f} \subseteq G
$$

One may assume that such a condition is too strong. Indeed, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Suppose $k$ is a positive integer and not greater than $n$. Then a strongly criterial set with respect to divisibility of the weight by $2^{k}$ exists iff $k=1$ or $k=n$.
Proof. We consider three cases.
$-k=1$. Using (2) we obtain that $\mathbf{F}_{2}^{n} \backslash\{\mathbf{1}\}$ is a strongly criterial set w.r.t. divisibility of the weight by two.
$-k=n$. We get $\mathrm{wt}(f) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right)$. Taking into account the fact that $0 \leqslant \mathrm{wt}(f) \leqslant 2^{n}$ we obtain that $f$ is a constant. Obviously $C_{0}=\emptyset$ and $C_{1}=\{\mathbf{0}\}$. Denote by $G$ the set $\{\mathbf{0}\}$. It is easy to prove that

$$
\mathrm{wt}(f) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right) \Longleftrightarrow C_{f} \subseteq G
$$

This implies that $G$ is strongly criterial.
$-1<k<n$. Now we show that no strongly criterial set exists for such $k$. Assume the converse. Let $G$ be a strongly criterial set w.r.t. divisibility of the weight by $2^{k}$. Suppose functions $f_{1}, f_{2}$ satisfy following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{wt}\left(f_{1}\right) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right) \\
& \mathrm{wt}\left(f_{2}\right) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
C_{f_{1}} \subseteq G, C_{f_{2}} \subseteq G \Longrightarrow G \supseteq C_{f_{1}} \cup C_{f_{2}} \supseteq C_{f_{1} \oplus f_{2}}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{wt}\left(f_{1} \oplus f_{2}\right) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To get a contradiction, we construct functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ which satisfy (3) and do not satisfy (4).
Indeed, the condition $1<k<n$ implies the following. The reader will easily prove that there exist sets $A_{1}, A_{2} \subset \mathbf{F}_{2}^{n}$ such that

$$
\left|A_{1}\right|=\left|A_{2}\right|=2^{k}, \quad\left|\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)\right|=1
$$

Now we define $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$. By definition, put

$$
f_{i}(x)=1 \Leftrightarrow x \in A_{i}, \quad i=1,2
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|N_{f_{1}}\right|=\left|N_{f_{2}}\right|=2^{k} \equiv 0 & \left(\bmod 2^{k}\right) \\
\left|N_{f_{1} \oplus f_{2}}\right|=\left|\left(A_{1} \triangle A_{2}\right)\right|=2 \cdot 2^{k}-2 \not \equiv 0 & \left(\bmod 2^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ satisfy (3) and do not satisfy (4). This contradiction proves the theorem.
Therefore, our generalization implies too strong conditions. Let us make them weaker.

## 4 Second generalization

We say that a set $G \subset \mathbf{F}_{2}^{n}$ is a weakly criterial with respect to the property $\mathfrak{C}$, if for any $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ the condition

$$
\text { Either } f_{1} \text { or } f_{2} \text { has } \mathfrak{C}
$$

implies

$$
G \cap C_{f_{1}} \neq G \cap C_{f_{2}} .
$$

We will omit the phrase $i j$ with respect to $\mathfrak{C}_{i} i$ when $\mathfrak{C}$ is clear from context.
Example. Using 2 we obtain that the set $\{\mathbf{1}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)\}$ is a weakly criterial w.r.t. divisibility of the weight by 2 .

Let us remark that such a definition is actually weaker than the former one. Any weakly criterial set only divides the set of all boolean functions into equivalence classes: $M_{1} \sim M_{2} \Leftrightarrow$ $G \cap M_{1}=G \cap M_{2}$.

We claim that there exist only trivial weakly criterial sets.
Theorem 2. Let $k$ be a positive integer such that $2 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. Then for the set $G$ to be weakly criterial w.r.t. divisibility of the weight by $2^{k}$ it is necessary and sufficient to have $\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}^{n} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}\right) \subseteq G$.

Proof. First of all, we prove sufficiency. Secondly, we prove necessity for $k=n$. Finally, we prove necessity for $2 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$.

Sufficiency. Let $G$ be a set of $n$-tuples such that $\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}^{n} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}\right) \subseteq G$. Then only two cases are possible: $G=\mathbf{F}_{2}^{n}$ and $G=\mathbf{F}_{2}^{n} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$.

The first case is trivial: obviously, $\mathbf{F}_{2}^{n}$ is a weakly criterial set. Consider the second case. Let $f$ be a boolean function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{wt}(f) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we prove that $G=\mathbf{F}_{2}^{n} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is a weakly criterial set.
Assume the converse: there exists a function $f^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{wt}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \not \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

but

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \cap C_{f}=G \cap C_{f^{\prime}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have

$$
G=\mathbf{F}_{2}^{n} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\} \Longrightarrow G \cap C_{f}=C_{f} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}, G \cap C_{f^{\prime}}=C_{f^{\prime}} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}
$$

If we combine this with (6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{f} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}=C_{f^{\prime}} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It implies that the ANF of $f$ equals the ANF of $f^{\prime}$ accurate to a constant. Using the condition $f \neq f^{\prime}$ we get $f^{\prime}=f \oplus 1$. It is easy to prove that $\mathrm{wt}(f)+\mathrm{wt}(f \oplus 1)=2^{n}$ for any $f$. Combining it with (5) and the condition $k \leqslant n-1$, we obtain wt $\left(f^{\prime}\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right)$. It implies the contradiction with (7).

Thus $G$ is a weakly criterial set of tuples.

Necessity for $k=n$. By definition, put $f_{1} \equiv 0$ and $f_{2}=\mathrm{x}^{a}$, where $a$ is an arbitrary non-zero tuple. Then the following conditions hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1} \text { has the property of } 2^{n} \text {-divisibility; } \\
& f_{2} \text { does not have the property of } 2^{n} \text {-divisibility; } \\
& C_{f_{1}}=\emptyset, C_{f_{2}}=\{a\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Take any weakly criterial set $G$ with respect to divisibility of the weight by $2^{k}$. This implies

$$
G \cap C_{f_{1}} \neq G \cap C_{f_{2}} .
$$

Hence we obtain $G \cap C_{f_{2}}=\{a\}$. Arbitrariness of $a$ implies

$$
\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}^{n} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}\right) \subseteq G
$$

Necessity for $2 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$. Let $k$ belongs to [2;n-1] and let $G$ be a weakly criterial set w.r.t. divisibility of the weight by $2^{k}$. Now we prove that $\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}^{n} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}\right) \subseteq G$.

Assume the converse: $\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}^{n} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}\right) \nsubseteq G$. Fix an arbitrary tuple $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{n} \backslash(\{\mathbf{0}\} \cup G)$. Consider two cases.
$-\alpha=1$. Consider the functions $f_{1} \equiv 0$ and $f_{2} \equiv \mathrm{x}^{\alpha}=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}$. It follows easily that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{wt}\left(f_{1}\right) & \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right) ; \\
\mathrm{wt}\left(f_{2}\right) & \equiv 1 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right) ; \\
G \cap C_{f_{1}} & =G \cap C_{f_{2}}=\emptyset
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $G$ is not a weakly criterial set, so we get a contradiction.
$-\alpha \neq \mathbf{1}$. Denote by $A$ the set $\left\{a \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{n} \mid \alpha \preccurlyeq a \preccurlyeq \mathbf{1}\right\}$, where $\alpha \preccurlyeq \beta$ describes the partial ordering on the Boolean lattice. Also denote the number of units (non-zero elements) in $\alpha$ by $m$. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|=2^{n-m}, m \leqslant n-1,\left|\mathbf{F}_{2}^{n} \backslash A\right| \geqslant 2^{n-1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha}=1 \Leftrightarrow x \in A . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(9) implies the existence of a function $f$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N_{f} \cap A\right|=2^{n-m-1}-1,\left|N_{f} \backslash A\right|=2^{n-1}-2^{n-m-1}+1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix an arbitrary $f$ that satisfies 11 . Define a function $f^{\prime}$ by the rule

$$
f^{\prime}=f \oplus \mathrm{x}^{\alpha} .
$$

It implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \cap C_{f}=G \cap C_{f^{\prime}} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{f} \backslash A=N_{f^{\prime}} \backslash A \text { from 10); }  \tag{13}\\
\left|N_{f} \cap A\right|+\left|N_{f^{\prime}} \cap A\right|=|A| \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining 11 with the condition $k \leqslant n-1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{wt}(f)=2^{n-m-1}-1+2^{n-1}-2^{n-m-1}+1=2^{n-1} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate $\mathrm{wt}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$, we combine the equations (13) and (14) with (9) and (11). Then we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{wt}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=2^{n-m}-\left(2^{n-m-1}-1\right)+2^{n-1}-2^{n-m-1}+1=2^{n-1}+2 \equiv 2 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the weight of $f^{\prime}$ is not divisible by $2^{k}$, which is contrary to 12 . This contradiction proves the theorem.

## 5 Summary

Hence for checking whether the Hamming weight of $f$ is divisible by $2^{k}, k>1$, it is necessary and sufficient to know its algebraic normal form accurate to an additive constant.
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