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#### Abstract

This paper shows that many of elliptic curve cryptosystems over quartic extension fields of odd characteristics are reduced to genus two hyperelliptic curve cryptosystems over quadratic extension fields. Moreover, it shows that almost all of the genus two hyperelliptic curve cryptosystems over quadratic extension fields of odd characteristics come under Weil descent attack. This means that many of elliptic curve cryptosystems over quartic extension fields of odd characteristics can be attacked by Weil descent uniformly.


## 1 Introduction

Now, the elliptic curve cryptosystem is one of the most important public key cryptosystems. There have been found several attack methods for elliptic curve cryptosystems, such as MOV attack [15], Frey-Rück attack [8], SSSA attack [19, 21, 22], and Weil descent attack. Among them, the most problematic attack is Weil descent attack, because the class of the elliptic curves for which Weil descent attack efficiently works has not been determined yet.

Weil descent attack, of which idea was shown by Frey and Gangl [7], aims to break DLP on algebraic curve over composite fields. For a given algebraic curve $A$ on a composite field $K$, using the technique of scalar restriction, we construct an algebraic curve $C$ on a smaller field $k$ to cover the curve $A$. By doing this, we can reduce DLP on $A$ to DLP on $C$. Since the definition field $k$ of $C$ is smaller than that $K$ of $A$, Gaudry method [12] could be more effective against DLP on $C$ than against $A$, provided that genus of $C$ is small enough.

Gaudry, Hess and Smart [13] firstly showed that some of (DLP on) elliptic curve of characteristic two are really attacked by Weil descent. Later, it was

[^0]shown, by Galbraith [9] and [2], that some of hyperelliptic curve of characteristic two and some of elliptic curve of characteristic three are also attacked, respectively. Moreover Diem [6] has shown the existence of (hyper-)elliptic curves of general odd characteristics which can be attacked by Weil descent. However, elliptic or hyperelliptic curves attacked by those are very exceptional ones.

In this paper, we deal with elliptic curve cryptosystems over quartic extension fields. We show that many of those are reduced to genus two hyperelliptic curve cryptosystems over quadratic extension fields. Moreover, we show that almost all of the genus two hyperelliptic curve cryptosystems over quadratic extension fields of odd characteristics come under Weil descent attack. This means that many of elliptic curve cryptosystems over quartic extension fields of odd characteristics can be attacked by Weil descent uniformly.

The organization of the paper is as follows:
In Section 2, we show that many of elliptic curve cryptosystems over quartic extension fields are reduced to genus two hyperelliptic curve cryptosystems over quadratic extension fields. In Section 2.1, we introduce Scholten form of an elliptic curve over a quartic extension field, and we see that Scholten form is covered by a genus two hyperelliptic curve over a quadratic extension field. Then, in Section 2.2, we see that elliptic curves which can be expressed in Scholten form are ones with no two-torsions, or ones with full two-torsions.

In Section 3, we show Weil descent attack is effective in the almost all of the genus two hyperelliptic curve cryptosystems over quadratic extension field. In Section 3.1, given a genus two hyperelliptic curve over a quadratic extension, we construct an algebraic curve of genus nine over its subfield using the technique of scalar restriction. Then, in Section 3.2, we construct a $C_{a b}$ model of the genus nine curve, and in Section 3.3, we explicitly reduce DLP on the hyperelliptic curve to DLP on the $C_{a b}$ model in order to apply a variant of Gaudry method.

## 2 A Weil Descent Attack against Elliptic Curve Cryptosystems over Quartic Extension Fields

Suppose an elliptic curve defined over quartic extension field $k_{4}$ of $k$ of odd characteristic in the Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=f(x)$ is given. Let $k_{2}$ be a quadratic extension of $k$ in $k_{4}$. Let $q$ denote the order of $k$. We show that the elliptic curve $E_{w}$ has Scholten form [20], that is, it has a defining equation of the form $y^{2}=a x^{3}+b x^{2}+b^{q^{2}} x+a^{q^{2}}$ with $a, b \in k_{4}$ if and only if $f(x)$ is irreducible over $k_{4}$ with $\mathrm{j}(E) \notin k_{2}$, or $f(x)$ is completely factored over $k_{4}$.

Moreover, we show an elliptic curve $E_{n}$ in Scholten form has a double cover of genus two hyperelliptic curve $H: y^{2}=a(x-c)^{6}+b(x-c)^{4}\left(x-c^{q^{2}}\right)^{2}+b^{q^{2}}(x-$ $c)^{2}\left(x-c^{q^{2}}\right)^{4}+a^{q^{2}}\left(x-c^{q^{2}}\right)^{6}$, which is defined over $k_{2}\left(c \in k_{4}\right)$. Thus, we see that DLP on many of elliptic curves over quartic extension field $k_{4}$ of odd characteristics are reduced to DLP on genus two hyperelliptic curves over quadratic extension field $k_{2}$. Here, we notice that the corresponding hyperelliptic curve has a defining equation of the form $y^{2}=x^{6}+a x^{5}+b x^{4}+c x^{3}+d x^{2}+e x+f$,
not necessarily to be an imaginary type.

### 2.1 Scholten from

Let $k=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite field of order $q$ of characteristic different from 2. Let $k_{d}$ denote the $d$-th degree extension of $k$. An elliptic curve $E_{n}$ over $k_{4}$ is called Scholten form if it is defined by an equation

$$
y^{2}=a x^{3}+b x^{2}+b^{q^{2}} x+a^{q^{2}}
$$

with some $a, b \in k_{4}$.
Scholten [20] showed that the scalar restriction $\Pi_{k_{2}}^{k_{4}} E_{n}$ of Scholten form $E_{n}$ is isomorphic to Jacobian of a genus 2 hyperelliptic curve over $k_{2}$, and gave a way to construct secure genus two hyperelliptic curve (constructive Weil descent). Moreover, he showed that an elliptic curve with full 2 -torsions can be transformed into Scholten form, and observed that an elliptic curve with no 2-torsions can be also transformed experimentally.

We see in this paper that Scholten form $E_{n}$ on $k_{4}$ is covered by a genus two hyperelliptic curve on $k_{2}$ in a different manner from Scholten [20], and clarify necessary and sufficient conditions for a given elliptic curve to be transformed into Scholten form.

Scholten form $E_{n}$ has a double cover of genus two hyperelliptic curve

$$
H: Y^{2}=a(X-c)^{6}+b(X-c)^{4}\left(X-c^{q^{2}}\right)+b^{q^{2}}(X-c)^{2}\left(X-c^{q^{2}}\right)^{4}+a^{q^{2}}\left(X-c^{q^{2}}\right)^{6}
$$

Here, $c$ denotes an element of $k_{4}$, not included in $k_{2}$. We notice that $H$ is defined on $k_{2}$. A covering map $\Psi$ from hyperelliptic curve $H$ to Scholten form $E_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y)=\Psi(X, Y)=\left(\left(\frac{X-c}{X-c^{q^{2}}}\right)^{2}, \frac{Y}{\left(X-c^{q^{2}}\right)^{3}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. The hyperelliptic curve $H$ dose not depend on the choice of $c(\in$ $k_{4}-k_{2}$ ). In fact, $H_{0}: Y^{2}=a X^{6}+b X^{4}+b^{q^{2}} X^{2}+a^{q^{2}}$ is isomorphic to $H$ via a map

$$
(X, Y) \longmapsto\left(\frac{X-c}{X-c^{q^{2}}}, \frac{Y}{\left(X-c^{q^{2}}\right)^{3}}\right) .
$$

For a $k_{4}$-rational point $P$ on Scholten form $E_{n}$, let $\left\{Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right\}$ be an inverse image of $P$ by the covering map $\Psi: H \rightarrow E_{n}$. The covering map $\Psi: H \rightarrow E_{n}$ induces a homomorphism $\Psi^{*}$ from $E_{n}\left(k_{4}\right)$ to Jacobian $J_{H}\left(k_{4}\right)$ of $H$ over $k_{4}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{*}: E_{n}\left(k_{4}\right) & \rightarrow J_{H}\left(k_{4}\right) \\
P & \mapsto Q_{1}+Q_{2}-\infty_{1}-\infty_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}$ denote two points of $H$ at infinity. By equation (1) of the covering map $\Psi$, we see that $X$-coordinates of $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are roots of

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X-c)^{2}-x(P)\left(X-c^{q^{2}}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x(P)$ denotes the $x$-coordinate of the point $P$.
We take a composition of $\Psi^{*}$ with trace map

$$
\begin{aligned}
T: J_{H}\left(k_{4}\right) & \rightarrow J_{H}\left(k_{2}\right) \\
\sum_{i} Q_{i} & \mapsto \sum_{i} Q_{i}+Q_{i}^{q^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

to get a homomorphism $T \cdot \Psi^{*}$ from $E_{n}\left(k_{4}\right)$ to Jacobian $J_{H}\left(k_{2}\right)$ over $k_{2}$.
Lemma 1. Let $P$ be a $k_{4}$-rational point of $S$ cholten form $E_{n}$. If the order of $P$ is not less than $2 q^{2}+2$, then we have $T \cdot \Psi^{*}(P) \neq 0$.

Proof. We only have to show that the number of $P \in E_{n}\left(k_{4}\right)$ satisfying $T$. $\Psi^{*}(P)=0$ is at most $2 q^{2}+1$. In order to do that, it suffices to show the number of $P \in E_{n}\left(k_{4}\right)$ with $x(P) \neq 1, \infty$ satisfying $T \cdot \Psi^{*}(P)=0$ is at most $2 q^{2}-2$.

Let $x(P) \neq 1, \infty$. Let $\left\{Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right\}$ be an inverse image of $P$ by $\Psi: H \rightarrow E_{n}$. Let $A(X)=(X-c)^{2}+\left(X-c^{q^{2}}\right)^{2}$ and $B(X)=(X-c)^{2}-\left(X-c^{q^{2}}\right)^{2}$. Since $X$-coordinates of $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ satisfies equation (2), we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}(1-x(P)) A(X)+\frac{1}{2}(1+x(P)) B(X)=0 .
$$

By making this monic, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(A(X)-\frac{b+1}{b} B(X)\right)=0
$$

with $b=(-1+x(P)) / 2$.
Now we assume, in addition, that $T \cdot \Psi^{*}(P)=0$. Then, since $\Psi^{*}(P)=$ $-\Psi^{*}(P)^{q^{2}}$, the monic equation for $X$-coordinates of $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ and the one for $Q_{1}^{q^{2}}, Q_{2}^{q^{2}}$ must be identical. So, noticing that $A(X), B(X)$ is transferred to $A(X),-B(X)$ respectively by $q^{2}$-Frobenius, we see

$$
\left(\frac{b+1}{b}\right)^{q^{2}}=-\frac{b+1}{b}
$$

Since the number of such $b(\neq 0)$ are at most $q^{2}-1$, the number of $P$ satisfying $T \cdot \Psi^{*}(P)=0$ is at most $2 q^{2}-2$.

By Lemma 1, we see that the homomorphism $T \cdot \Psi^{*}$ from $E_{n}\left(k_{4}\right)$ to $J_{H}\left(k_{2}\right)$ is not trivial. So, it reduces DLP on $E_{n}\left(k_{4}\right)$ to DLP on $J_{H}\left(k_{2}\right)$. Thus, we know that DLP on Scholten form over $k_{4}$ is reduced to DLP on genus two hyperelliptic curve over $k_{2}$.

### 2.2 Which elliptic curves are in Scholten form?

Now, we consider necessary and sufficient conditions for an elliptic curve on $k_{4}$ in Weierstrass form to be transformed into Scholten form over $k_{4}$. In general, an isomorphism between elliptic curves (which are not necessarily in Weierstrass forms) is given by a linear transformation $x \rightarrow A x+B, y \rightarrow C y+D x+E$ with constants $A, B, C, D$. If Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=f(x)$ on $k_{4}$ is transformed into Scholten form $E_{n}: y^{2}=F(x)$ on $k_{4}$ by transformation $x \rightarrow A x+B, y \rightarrow$ $C y+D x+E$ over $k_{4}$, it is obvious that $D=E=0$ and $F(x)=C^{-2} f(A x+B)$.

### 2.2.1 The case of $f(x)$ being irreducible over $k_{4}$

First, we consider necessary and sufficient conditions for Weierstrass form $E_{w}$ : $y^{2}=f(x)$ to be transformed into Scholten form $E_{n}: y^{2}=F(x)$ with $f(x)$ being irreducible over $k_{4}$.

Suppose Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=f(x)$ is transformed into Scholten form $E_{n}: y^{2}=F(x)$ by transformation $x \rightarrow A x+B, y \rightarrow C y$ over $k_{4}$. Since $F(x)=C^{-2} f(A x+B), F(x)$ is also irreducible over $k_{4}$. Let $\delta$ be a root of $F(x)=a x^{3}+b x^{2}+b^{q^{2}} x+a^{q^{2}}:$

$$
a \delta^{3}+b \delta^{2}+b^{q^{2}} \delta+a^{q^{2}}=0
$$

Applying $q^{2}$-Frobenius and multiplying with $\left(\delta^{-q^{2}}\right)^{3}$, we have

$$
a\left(\delta^{-q^{2}}\right)^{3}+b\left(\delta^{-q^{2}}\right)^{2}+b^{q^{2}} \delta^{-q^{2}}+a^{q^{2}}=0 .
$$

So, $\delta^{-q^{2}}$ is also a root of $F(x)$. This means that

$$
\delta^{-q^{2}}=\delta \text { or } \delta^{q^{4}} \text { or } \delta^{q^{8}} .
$$

However, if we suppose $\delta^{-q^{2}}=\delta$, then we have $\delta^{q^{4}-1}=\left(\delta^{q^{2}+1}\right)^{q^{2}-1}=1$, and $\delta \in k_{4}$, which contradicts the irreducibility of $F(x)$. Similarly, if $\delta^{-q^{2}}=\delta^{q^{4}}$, then $\delta^{-1}=\delta^{q^{2}}$ which also means $\delta \in k_{4}$. Therefore, we must have $\delta^{-q^{2}}=\delta^{q^{8}}$, that is, $\delta^{1+q^{6}}=1$.

Summarizing,
Proposition 1. Suppose that a monic cubic polynomial $f(x)$ is irreducible over $k_{4}$, and that Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=f(x)$ on $k_{4}$ is isomorphic to Scholten form $E_{n}: y^{2}=F(x)$ over $k_{4}$. Then, for a root $\gamma$ for $f(x)$, there are $A \in k_{4}^{\times}$ and $B \in k_{4}$ satisfying $\gamma=A \delta+B$ and $\delta^{1+q^{6}}=1$.

The contrary also holds:
Proposition 2. Let $f(x)$ be an irreducible monic cubic polynomial over $k_{4}$. Suppose that there are $A \in k_{4}^{\times}$and $B \in k_{4}$ satisfying $\gamma=A \delta+B$ and $\delta^{1+q^{6}}=1$ for a root $\gamma$ of $f(x)$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =-A^{2-q^{2}} \delta^{1+q^{4}-q^{2}} \\
b & =-A\left(\delta+\delta^{q^{4}}+\delta^{-q^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=f(x)$ on $k_{4}$ is transformed into Scholten form $E_{n}: y^{2}=a x^{3}+b x^{2}+b^{q^{2}} x+a^{q^{2}}$ on $k_{4}$ by transformation $y \rightarrow a y, x \rightarrow a x+B$ over $k_{4}$.

Proof. Applying transformation $y \rightarrow y, x \rightarrow x+B$, we can suppose $B=0$. For $f(x)=(x-\gamma)\left(x-\gamma^{q^{4}}\right)\left(x-\gamma^{q^{8}}\right)$,

$$
\text { the coefficient of } \begin{aligned}
x^{2} & =-\left(\gamma+\gamma^{q^{4}}+\gamma^{q^{8}}\right) \\
& =-A\left(\delta+\delta^{q^{4}}+\delta^{-q^{2}}\right) \\
& =b,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { the coefficient of } \begin{aligned}
x & =\gamma \gamma^{q^{4}}+\gamma^{q^{4}} \gamma^{q^{8}}+\gamma^{q^{8}} \gamma \\
& =A^{2}\left(\delta^{1+q^{4}}+\delta^{q^{4}-q^{2}}+\delta^{1-q^{2}}\right) \\
& =-A^{2-q^{2}} \delta^{1+q^{4}-q^{2}} \cdot(-1) \cdot A^{q^{2}}\left(\delta^{q^{2}}+\delta^{-1}+\delta^{-q^{4}}\right) \\
& =a b^{q^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\epsilon=\delta^{1+q^{4}-q^{2}}$. Noticing that $\epsilon^{1+q^{2}}=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { the constant term } & =-\gamma^{1+q^{4}+q^{8}} \\
& =-A^{3} \epsilon \\
& =-A^{2 q^{2}-1} \epsilon^{q^{2}} \cdot A^{4-2 q^{2}} \epsilon^{2} \\
& =a^{q^{2}} a^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
y^{2}=x^{3}+b x^{2}+a b^{q^{2}} x+a^{q^{2}} a^{2}
$$

This is transformed into

$$
E_{n}: y^{2}=a x^{3}+b x^{2}+b^{q^{2}} x+a^{q^{2}}
$$

by transformation $y \rightarrow a y, x \rightarrow a x$.
Next, for a root $\gamma$ of a monic cubic irreducible polynomial $f(x)$ over $k_{4}$, we examine the condition of Proposition 2:

$$
\exists A \in k_{4}^{\times}, B \in k_{4}, \text { satisfying } \gamma=A \delta+B, \delta^{1+q^{6}}=1 .
$$

For $\gamma \in k_{12}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}(\gamma)=\left(\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{4}}-\gamma^{q^{2}+1}\right)+\left(\gamma^{q^{6}+q^{8}}-\gamma^{q^{6}+q^{4}}\right)+\left(\gamma^{q^{10}+1}-\gamma^{q^{10}+q^{8}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $\mathrm{d}(\gamma)^{q^{4}}=\mathrm{d}(\gamma), \mathrm{d}(\gamma)^{q^{2}}=-\mathrm{d}(\gamma)$.

Lemma 2. For $\gamma \in k_{12} \backslash k_{4}$, we have $\mathrm{d}(\gamma) \neq 0$ if and only if $\gamma$ satisfies the condition of Proposition 2. In such a case, $A, B$ in the condition of Proposition 2 are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
B= & \mathrm{d}(\gamma)^{-1}\left(\gamma\left(\gamma^{q^{6}+q^{8}}-\gamma^{q^{4}+q^{6}}\right)+\gamma^{q^{4}}\left(\gamma^{q^{10}+1}-\gamma^{q^{8}+q^{10}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\gamma^{q^{8}}\left(\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{4}}-\gamma^{1+q^{2}}\right)\right), \\
C= & \mathrm{N}_{k_{12} \mid k_{6}}(\gamma-B), \\
A= & \left\{\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{C} & \text { if } C \in k_{2}^{\times 2} \\
\sqrt{-C} & \text { if } C \notin k_{2}^{\times 2}
\end{aligned}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Suppose $\mathrm{d}(\gamma) \neq 0$. Since $\mathrm{N}_{k_{4} \mid k_{2}}$ is surjective, we only need to show $(\gamma-B)^{1+q^{6}} \in k_{2}$ for some $B \in k_{4}$ (For $A^{1+q^{2}}=A^{1+q^{6}}=(\gamma-B)^{1+q^{6}}$, let $\delta=(\gamma-B) / A)$. For that sake, we see an equation for $B$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\gamma-B)^{q^{2}}\left(\gamma^{q^{6}}-B^{q^{6}}\right)^{q^{2}}-(\gamma-B)\left(\gamma^{q^{6}}-B^{q^{6}}\right)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution in $k_{4}$. Letting $B^{q^{4}}=B$, equation (4) is expanded as:

$$
\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{8}}-\gamma^{q^{2}} B-B^{q^{2}} \gamma^{q^{8}}+B^{q^{2}+1}-\left(\gamma^{1+q^{6}}-\gamma B^{q^{2}}-B \gamma^{q^{6}}+B^{1+q^{2}}\right)=0
$$

Collecting terms of $B$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma^{q^{2}}-\gamma^{q^{6}}\right) B+\left(\gamma^{q^{8}}-\gamma\right) B^{q^{2}}-\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{8}}+\gamma^{1+q^{6}}=0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $q^{2}$-Frobenius,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma^{q^{4}}-\gamma^{q^{8}}\right) B^{q^{2}}+\left(\gamma^{q^{10}}-\gamma^{q^{2}}\right) B-\gamma^{q^{4}+q^{10}}+\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{8}}=0 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (5) and (6) are written with matrices as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma^{q^{2}}-\gamma^{q^{6}} & \gamma^{q^{8}}-\gamma  \tag{7}\\
\gamma^{q^{10}}-\gamma^{q^{2}} & \gamma^{q^{4}}-\gamma^{q^{8}}
\end{array}\right)\binom{B}{B^{q^{2}}}=\binom{-\gamma^{1+q^{6}}+\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{8}}}{-\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{8}}+\gamma^{q^{4}+q^{10}}}
$$

The determinant of the coefficient matrix is computed to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\gamma^{q^{2}}-\gamma^{q^{6}}\right)\left(\gamma^{q^{4}}-\gamma^{q^{8}}\right)-\left(\gamma^{q^{8}}-\gamma\right)\left(\gamma^{q^{10}}-\gamma^{q^{2}}\right) \\
= & \left(\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{4}}-\gamma^{1+q^{2}}\right)+\left(\gamma^{q^{6}+q^{8}}-\gamma^{q^{6}+q^{4}}\right)+\left(\gamma^{1+q^{10}}-\gamma^{q^{8}+q^{10}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is equal to $\mathrm{d}(\gamma)$, which is not zero by assumption. Therefore,

$$
\binom{B}{B^{q^{2}}}=\mathrm{d}(\gamma)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma^{q^{4}}-\gamma^{q^{8}} & -\gamma^{q^{8}}+\gamma \\
-\gamma^{q^{10}}+\gamma^{q^{2}} & \gamma^{q^{2}}-\gamma^{q^{6}}
\end{array}\right)\binom{-\gamma^{1+q^{6}}+\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{8}}}{-\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{8}}+\gamma^{q^{4}+q^{10}}} .
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B= & \mathrm{d}(\gamma)^{-1}\left(\gamma\left(\gamma^{q^{6}+q^{8}}-\gamma^{q^{4}+q^{6}}\right)+\gamma^{q^{4}}\left(\gamma^{q^{10}+1}-\gamma^{q^{8}+q^{10}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\gamma^{q^{8}}\left(\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{4}}-\gamma^{1+q^{2}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For this $B$ we have

$$
B^{q^{4}}=\mathrm{d}(\gamma)^{-1}\left(\gamma^{q^{4}}\left(\gamma^{q^{10}+1}-\gamma^{q^{8}+q^{10}}\right)+\gamma^{q^{8}}\left(\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{4}}-\gamma^{1+q^{2}}\right)+\gamma\left(\gamma^{q^{6}+q^{8}}-\gamma^{q^{4}+q^{6}}\right)\right),
$$

which implies $B=B^{q^{4}}$, i.e., $B \in k_{4}$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Suppose d $(\gamma)=0$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{4}}-\gamma^{q^{2}+1}\right)+\left(\gamma^{q^{6}+q^{8}}-\gamma^{q^{6}+q^{4}}\right)+\left(\gamma^{q^{10}+1}-\gamma^{q^{10}+q^{8}}\right)=0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(\gamma-B)^{1+q^{6}} \in k_{2}$ for some $B \in k_{4}$, then equation (7) has a solution $B$. Then, since the determinant of the coefficient matrix of equation (7) is equal to $\mathrm{d}(\gamma)=0$, we must have

$$
\frac{\gamma^{q^{2}}-\gamma^{q^{6}}}{\gamma^{q^{10}}-\gamma^{q^{2}}}=\frac{\gamma^{q^{8}}-\gamma}{\gamma^{q^{4}}-\gamma^{q^{8}}}=\frac{\gamma^{1+q^{6}}-\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{8}}}{\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{8}}-\gamma^{q^{4}+q^{10}}} .
$$

So,

$$
\left(\gamma^{q^{8}}-\gamma\right)\left(\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{8}}-\gamma^{q^{4}+q^{10}}\right)=\left(\gamma^{q^{4}}-\gamma^{q^{8}}\right)\left(\gamma^{1+q^{6}}-\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{8}}\right) .
$$

Expanding,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{1+q^{4}+q^{6}}+\gamma^{q^{4}+q^{8}+q^{10}}+\gamma^{1+q^{2}+q^{8}}-\gamma^{1+q^{4}+q^{10}}-\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{4}+q^{8}}-\gamma^{1+q^{6}+q^{8}}=0 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding $\gamma^{q^{4}}$-times equation (8) to equation (9),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma^{1+q^{4}+q^{6}}+\gamma^{1+q^{2}+q^{8}}- \\
& \gamma^{q^{2}+q^{4}+q^{8}}-\gamma^{1+q^{6}+q^{8}}+\gamma^{q^{2}+2 q^{4}}-\gamma^{q^{2}+1+q^{4}}+\gamma^{q^{6}+q^{8}+q^{4}}-\gamma^{q^{6}+2 q^{4}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

However, the left-hand side is factored as

$$
\left(\gamma^{q^{6}}-\gamma^{q^{2}}\right)\left(\gamma-\gamma^{q^{4}}\right)\left(\gamma^{q^{4}}-\gamma^{q^{8}}\right)=0 .
$$

This implies $\gamma \in k_{4}$, which contradicts the assumption.
From Propositions 1 and 2 and Lemma 2, we have
Theorem 1. Let $f(x)$ be an irreducible monic cubic polynomial over $k_{4}$. Let $\gamma$ be a root of $f(x)$. The necessary and sufficient condition for Weierstrass form $y^{2}=f(x)$ to be isomorphic to Scholten form over $k_{4}$ is that $\mathrm{d}(\gamma) \neq 0$. More precisely, in such a case, for

$$
\begin{aligned}
B= & \mathrm{d}(\gamma)^{-1}\left(\gamma\left(\gamma^{q^{6}+q^{8}}-\gamma^{q^{4}+q^{6}}\right)+\gamma^{q^{4}}\left(\gamma^{q^{10}+1}-\gamma^{q^{8}+q^{10}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\gamma^{q^{8}}\left(\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{4}}-\gamma^{1+q^{2}}\right)\right), \\
C= & \mathrm{N}_{k_{12} \mid k_{6}}(\gamma-B), \\
A= & \left\{\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{C} & \text { if } C \in\left(k_{2}^{\times}\right)^{2} \\
\sqrt{-C} & \text { if } C \notin\left(k_{2}^{\times}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

let

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =-A^{2-q^{2}} \delta^{1+q^{4}-q^{2}} \\
b & =-A\left(\delta+\delta^{q^{4}}+\delta^{-q^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=f(x)$ on $k_{4}$ is transformed into Scholten form $E_{n}: y^{2}=a x^{3}+b x^{2}+b^{q^{2}} x+a^{q^{2}}$ on $k_{4}$ by translation $y \rightarrow a y, x \rightarrow a x+B$ over $k_{4}$.

Next, we examine the condition $\mathrm{d}(\gamma) \neq 0$.
Lemma 3. Let $f(x)$ be an irreducible monic cubic polynomial over $k_{4}$. For Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=f(x)$ on $k_{4}$, the condition $\mathrm{j}\left(E_{w}\right) \in k_{2}$ is equivalent to the condition that a root $\gamma$ of $f(x)$ is given by

$$
\gamma=A \alpha+B
$$

with some $A \in k_{4}^{\times}, B \in k_{4}$ and $\alpha \in k_{6}$.
Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ By the condition $\mathrm{j}\left(E_{w}\right) \in k_{2}$, we see that for some transformation $y \rightarrow C y, x \rightarrow A x+B\left(C^{2}=A^{3}\right)$ over $k_{4}$, the elliptic curve $y^{2}=C^{-2} f(A x+B)$ becomes an elliptic curve $y^{2}=(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{2}}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{4}}\right)$ over $k_{2}$, or its twist $y^{2}=(x-D \alpha)\left(x-D \alpha^{q^{2}}\right)\left(x-D \alpha^{q^{4}}\right)$ over $k_{4}\left(D\right.$ is a non-square in $\left.k_{4}\right)$. Then, we have $\gamma=A \alpha+B$ or $\gamma=A D \alpha+B$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Applying transformation $x \rightarrow A x+B, y \rightarrow A^{\frac{3}{2}} y$ over $k_{8}$ for $E_{w}: y^{2}=$ $f(x)=(x-\gamma)\left(x-\gamma^{q^{4}}\right)\left(x-\gamma^{q^{8}}\right)$, we have
$y^{2}=A^{-3}(A x+B-(A \alpha+B))\left(A x+B-\left(A \alpha^{q^{4}}+B\right)\right)\left(A x+B-\left(A \alpha^{q^{2}}+B\right)\right)$
$=(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{4}}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{2}}\right)$.
So, $\mathrm{j}\left(E_{w}\right) \in k_{2}$.
Proposition 3. Let $f(x)$ be an irreducible monic cubic polynomial over $k_{4}$. Let $\gamma$ be a root of $f(x)$. If $\mathrm{j}\left(E_{w}\right) \in k_{2}$ for Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=f(x)$, then we have $\mathrm{d}(\gamma)=0$.

Proof. By Lemma 3, there are some $A \in k_{4}^{\times}, B \in k_{4}$ and $\alpha \in k_{6}$ satisfying

$$
\gamma=A \alpha+B
$$

By Lemma 2, we know

$$
\mathrm{d}(\gamma)=0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{~d}(\gamma-B)=0
$$

So, we can suppose $B=0$, i.e. $\gamma=A \alpha$. Let

$$
d_{0}(\gamma)=\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{4}}+\gamma^{q^{6}+q^{8}}+\gamma^{q^{10}+1}
$$

then we have $\mathrm{d}(\gamma)=d_{0}(\gamma)-d_{0}(\gamma)^{q^{2}}$. So, to show the proposition, we only have to show $d_{0}(\gamma) \in k_{2}$. By $\gamma=A \alpha$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{0}(\gamma) & =A^{1+q^{2}}\left(\alpha^{q^{2}+q^{4}}+\alpha^{1+q^{2}}+\alpha^{q^{4}+1}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{N}_{k_{4} \mid k_{2}}(A) \mathrm{T}_{k_{6} \mid k_{2}}\left(\alpha^{1+q^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

When the characteristic of $k$ is not there, we can show the contrary:
Proposition 4. Let the characteristic of $k$ be different from there (or two). Let $f(x)$ be an irreducible monic cubic polynomial over $k_{4}$. Let $\gamma$ be a root of $f(x)$. If $\mathrm{d}(\gamma)=0$, then we have $\mathrm{j}\left(E_{w}\right) \in k_{2}$ for Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=f(x)$.

Proof. We can suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma+\gamma^{q^{4}}+\gamma^{q^{8}}=0, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

by letting $\gamma=\gamma-\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{~T}_{k_{12} \mid k_{4}}(\gamma)$ if necessary (we notice that $\mathrm{d}(\gamma)$ remains to be zero by Lemma 2). To show the proposition, it is sufficient to show

$$
A:=\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+\gamma^{q^{6}}} \in k_{4}
$$

by Lemma 3 (If $\gamma+\gamma^{q^{6}}=\mathrm{T}_{k_{12} \mid k_{6}}(\gamma)=0$, let $\gamma=a \gamma$ for some $a \in k_{4}$ ). Since

$$
A-A^{q^{4}}=\frac{\gamma^{1+q^{10}}-\gamma^{q^{4}+q^{6}}}{\left(\gamma+\gamma^{q^{6}}\right)\left(\gamma^{q^{4}}+\gamma^{q^{10}}\right)}
$$

it is sufficient to show

$$
\gamma^{1+q^{10}}-\gamma^{q^{4}+q^{6}}=0
$$

By the assumption $\mathrm{d}(\gamma)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma^{q^{10}+1}-\gamma^{q^{6}+q^{4}}\right)+\left(\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{4}}-\gamma^{q^{10}+q^{8}}\right)+\left(\gamma^{q^{6}+q^{8}}-\gamma^{q^{2}+1}\right)=0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (10),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{4}}-\gamma^{q^{10}+q^{8}} & =\gamma^{q^{2}+q^{4}}+\gamma^{q^{10}}\left(\gamma+\gamma^{q^{4}}\right) \\
& =\gamma^{q^{4}}\left(\gamma^{q^{2}}+\gamma^{q^{10}}\right)+\gamma^{1+q^{10}} \\
& =\gamma^{1+q^{10}}-\gamma^{q^{4}+q^{6}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{q^{6}+q^{8}}-\gamma^{q^{2}+1} & =\gamma^{q^{6}}\left(-\gamma-\gamma^{q^{4}}\right)-\left(-\gamma^{q^{6}}-\gamma^{q^{10}}\right) \gamma \\
& =-\gamma^{q^{4}+q^{6}}+\gamma^{1+q^{10}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, by equation (11), we see $\gamma^{1+q^{10}}-\gamma^{q^{4}+q^{6}}=0$.

Summarizing foregoing arguments, for an irreducible monic cubic polynomial $f(x)$ over $k_{4}$ and for its root $\gamma$, we have

$$
E_{w}: y^{2}=f(x) \text { can be Scholten form } \stackrel{\text { Prop. } 1,2}{\Longleftrightarrow} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \left(\exists A \in k_{4}^{\times}, B \in k_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\stackrel{\text { Lemma } 2}{\Longleftrightarrow} & \mathrm{~d}(\gamma) \neq 0 \\
\text { Prop. } 3,4 & \mathrm{j}\left(E_{w}\right) \notin k_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Here, $\Leftarrow$ on the last line is shown only when the characteristic of $k$ is not three.
Remark 2. Even in the case of $j(E) \in k_{2}$, we could find an elliptic curve $E^{\prime}$ over $k_{4}$ with $j\left(E^{\prime}\right) \notin k_{2}$, which is isogenious to $E$. Then DLP on $E$ also reduced to DLP on a genus two hyperelliptic curve on $k$ via DLP on $E^{\prime}$ (See [10]).

### 2.2.2 The case of $f(x)$ being reducible over $k_{4}$

Now, we consider the case of Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=f(x)$ with a reducible $f(x)$ over $k_{4}$.

First, we consider the case of $f(x)$ being a product of a linear polynomial and an irreducible quadratic polynomial over $k_{4}$. For such a $f(x)$, we assume Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=f(x)$ on $k_{4}$ is transformed into Scholten form $E_{n}$ : $y^{2}=F(x)$ by transformation $x \rightarrow A x+B, y \rightarrow C y$ over $k_{4}$. Then, (up to a scalar multiplication,) $F(x)$ also is a product of a linear polynomial $x-c$ and an irreducible polynomial $\left(x-\delta_{1}\right)\left(x-\delta_{2}\right)$ over $k_{4}\left(c \in k_{4}, \delta_{i} \in k_{8}-k_{4}\right)$. As seen in Section 2.2.1, by the form of defining equation of Scholten form, $\delta_{1}^{-q^{2}}$ is also a root of $F(x)$. So, we have $\delta_{1}^{-q^{2}}=\delta_{1}$ or $\delta_{1}^{-q^{2}}=\delta_{2}$. If $\delta_{1}^{-q^{2}}=\delta_{1}$, then $\delta_{1}^{1+q^{2}}=1$ and $\delta_{1} \in k_{4}$ which is a contradiction. If $\delta_{1}^{-q^{2}}=\delta_{2}$, then $\delta_{1}^{q^{4}}=\delta_{2}=\delta_{1}^{-q^{2}}$ and $\delta_{1}^{q^{2}}=\delta_{1}^{-1}$, which also implies $\delta_{1} \in k_{4}$. Hence,

Proposition 5. If a monic cubic polynomial $f(x)$ is a product of a linear polynomial and an irreducible quadratic polynomial over $k_{4}$, then Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=f(x)$ on $k_{4}$ is never $k_{4}$-isomorphic to Scholten form.

From now on, in this section, we consider the case of $f(x)$ which is completely factored over $k_{4}$. Scholten [20] has already shown that Weierstrass form $E_{w}$ : $y^{2}=f(x)$ with such $f(x)$ is always transformed into Scholten form over $k_{4}$. Here, we show the same result in the way of Section 2.2.1, which is different from Scholten's method.

As in Section 2.2.1, we have
Proposition 6. If Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=f(x)=\left(x-\gamma_{1}\right)\left(x-\gamma_{2}\right)\left(x-\gamma_{3}\right)$ with three different elements $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ in $k_{4}$ is $k_{4}$-isomorphic to Scholten form, then we can suppose the following (i) or (ii) holds:
(i) $\delta_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ defined by $\gamma_{i}=A \delta_{i}+B$ with some $A \in k_{4}^{\times}, B \in k_{4}$ satisfy $\delta_{1}^{-q^{2}}=\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}^{-q^{2}}=\delta_{2}, \delta_{3}^{-q^{2}}=\delta_{3}$.
(ii) $\delta_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ defined by $\gamma_{i}=A \delta_{i}+B$ with some $A \in k_{4}^{\times}, B \in k_{4}$ satisfy $\delta_{1}^{-q^{2}}=\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}^{-q^{2}}=\delta_{3}, \delta_{3}^{-q^{2}}=\delta_{2}$.
Proof. By assumption, Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=f(x)$ is transformed into Scholten form $E_{n}: y^{2}=F(x)$ by transformation $x \rightarrow A x+B, y \rightarrow C y$ over $k_{4}$. Since $F(x)=C^{-2} f(A x+B), F(x)$ also is completely factored over $k_{4}$. Let roots of $F(x)$ be $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \delta_{3}$. By the definition of Scholten form, $\delta_{i}^{-q^{2}}$ is a root of $F(x)$. The correspondence $\delta_{i} \mapsto \delta_{i}^{-q^{2}}$ has order one or two as a permutation of the set of roots $\left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \delta_{3}\right\}$.

The contrary holds also in this case:
Proposition 7. Suppose distinct three elements $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ in $k_{4}$ satisfy the following condition (i) or (ii):
(i) $\delta_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ defined by $\gamma_{i}=A \delta_{i}+B$ with some $A \in k_{4}^{\times}, B \in k_{4}$ satisfy $\delta_{1}^{-q^{2}}=\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}^{-q^{2}}=\delta_{2}, \delta_{3}^{-q^{2}}=\delta_{3}$.
(ii) $\delta_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ defined by $\gamma_{i}=A \delta_{i}+B$ with some $A \in k_{4}^{\times}, B \in k_{4}$ satisfy $\delta_{1}^{-q^{2}}=\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}^{-q^{2}}=\delta_{3}, \delta_{3}^{-q^{2}}=\delta_{2}$.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =-A^{2-q^{2}} \delta_{1} \delta_{2} \delta_{3} \\
b & =-A\left(\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}+\delta_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=f(x)=\left(x-\gamma_{1}\right)\left(x-\gamma_{2}\right)\left(x-\gamma_{3}\right)$ on $k_{4}$ is transformed into Scholten form $E_{n}: y^{2}=a x^{3}+b x^{2}+b^{q^{2}} x+a^{q^{2}}$ by transformation $y \rightarrow a y, x \rightarrow a x+B$ over $k_{4}$.

Proof. We can suppose $B=0$ by a transformation $y \rightarrow y, x \rightarrow x+B$. Under the assumption (i) or (ii), we have

$$
\left\{\delta_{1}^{q^{2}}, \delta_{2}^{q^{2}}, \delta_{3}^{q^{2}}\right\}=\left\{\delta_{1}^{-1}, \delta_{2}^{-1}, \delta_{3}^{-1}\right\}
$$

For $f(x)=\left(x-\gamma_{1}\right)\left(x-\gamma_{2}\right)\left(x-\gamma_{3}\right)$,
the coefficient of $x^{2}=-\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}\right)$

$$
=-A\left(\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}+\delta_{3}\right)
$$

$$
=b
$$

$$
\text { the coefficient of } \begin{aligned}
x & =\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}+\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}+\gamma_{3} \gamma_{1} \\
& =A^{2}\left(\delta_{1} \delta_{2}+\delta_{2} \delta_{3}+\delta_{3} \delta_{1}\right) \\
& =-A^{2-q^{2}} \delta_{1} \delta_{2} \delta_{3} \cdot(-1) \cdot A^{q^{2}}\left(\delta_{1}^{q^{2}}+\delta_{2}^{q^{2}}+\delta_{3}^{q^{2}}\right) \\
& =a b^{q^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { the constant term } & =-\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{3} \\
& =-A^{3} \delta_{1} \delta_{2} \delta_{3} \\
& =-A^{2 q^{2}-1} \delta_{1}^{q^{2}} \delta_{2}^{q^{2}} \delta_{3}^{q^{2}} \cdot A^{4-2 q^{2}}\left(\delta_{1} \delta_{2} \delta_{3}\right)^{2} \\
& =a^{q^{2}} a^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we have

$$
y^{2}=x^{3}+b x^{2}+a b^{q^{2}} x+a^{q^{2}} a^{2} .
$$

By a transformation $y \rightarrow a y, x \rightarrow a x$, this is transformed into

$$
E_{n}: y^{2}=a x^{3}+b x^{2}+b^{q^{2}} x+a^{q^{2}} .
$$

Let

$$
\mathrm{d}_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)=\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}^{q^{2}}+\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}^{q^{2}}+\gamma_{3} \gamma_{1}^{q^{2}}\right)-\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{3}^{q^{2}}+\gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}^{q^{2}}+\gamma_{3} \gamma_{2}^{q^{2}}\right)
$$

We have $\mathrm{d}_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)^{q^{2}}=-\mathrm{d}_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)$.
Lemma 4. For distinct three elements $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ in $k_{4}, \mathrm{~d}_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right) \neq 0$ is equivalent to the condition (i) in Proposition 7. In such a case, $A, B$ in the condition (i) are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
B= & \mathrm{d}_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)^{-1}\left(\gamma_{3} \gamma_{1}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}^{1+q^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{3} \gamma_{2}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{1} \gamma_{3}^{1+q^{2}}\right)\right), \\
A= & \left\{\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{C} & \text { if } C \in k_{2}^{\times 2} \\
\sqrt{-C} & \text { if } C \notin k_{2}^{\times 2},
\end{aligned}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

with $C=\mathrm{N}_{k_{4} \mid k_{2}}\left(\gamma_{1}-B\right)$.
Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Suppose $\mathrm{d}_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right) \neq 0$. We only need to show $\mathrm{N}_{k_{4} \mid k_{2}}\left(\gamma_{1}-B\right)=$ $\mathrm{N}_{k_{4} \mid k_{2}}\left(\gamma_{2}-B\right)=\mathrm{N}_{k_{4} \mid k_{2}}\left(\gamma_{3}-B\right)=C$ for some $B \in k_{4}\left(\right.$ For $A^{1+q^{2}}=\left(\gamma_{i}-B\right)^{1+q^{2}}$, let $\left.\delta_{i}=\left(\gamma_{i}-B\right) / A\right)$. For that sake, it is sufficient to show an equation for $B$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\gamma_{1}-B\right)\left(\gamma_{1}^{q^{2}}-B^{q^{2}}\right) & =\left(\gamma_{2}-B\right)\left(\gamma_{2}^{q^{2}}-B^{q^{2}}\right)  \tag{12}\\
\left(\gamma_{2}-B\right)\left(\gamma_{2}^{q^{2}}-B^{q^{2}}\right) & =\left(\gamma_{3}-B\right)\left(\gamma_{3}^{q^{2}}-B^{q^{2}}\right) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

has a solution in $k_{4}$. By equations (12),(13), we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{2} & \gamma_{1}^{q^{2}}-\gamma_{2}^{q^{2}}  \tag{14}\\
\gamma_{2}-\gamma_{3} & \gamma_{2}^{q^{2}}-\gamma_{3}^{q^{2}}
\end{array}\right)\binom{B^{q^{2}}}{B}=\binom{-\gamma_{2}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{1}^{1+q^{2}}}{-\gamma_{3}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{2}^{1+q^{2}}} .
$$

The determinant of the coefficient matrix is computed to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{2}\right)\left(\gamma_{2}^{q^{2}}-\gamma_{3}^{q^{2}}\right)-\left(\gamma_{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)\left(\gamma_{1}^{q^{2}}-\gamma_{2}^{q^{2}}\right) \\
= & \left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}^{q^{2}}+\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}^{q^{2}}+\gamma_{3} \gamma_{1}^{q^{2}}\right)-\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{3}^{q^{2}}+\gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}^{q^{2}}+\gamma_{3} \gamma_{2}^{q^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equal to $\mathrm{d}_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right) \neq 0$. So,
$B=\mathrm{d}_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)^{-1}\left(\gamma_{3} \gamma_{1}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}^{1+q^{2}}-\left(\gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{3} \gamma_{2}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{1} \gamma_{3}^{1+q^{2}}\right)\right)$
$(\Leftarrow)$ Suppose $d_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)=0$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}^{q^{2}}+\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}^{q^{2}}+\gamma_{3} \gamma_{1}^{q^{2}}\right)-\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{3}^{q^{2}}+\gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}^{q^{2}}+\gamma_{3} \gamma_{2}^{q^{2}}\right)=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we have $\mathrm{N}_{k_{4} \mid k_{2}}\left(\gamma_{1}-B\right)=\mathrm{N}_{k_{4} \mid k_{2}}\left(\gamma_{2}-B\right)=\mathrm{N}_{k_{4} \mid k_{2}}\left(\gamma_{3}-B\right)$ for some $B \in k_{4}$, then an equation (14) has a solution $B \in k_{4}$. Since the determinant of the coefficient matrix of equation(14) is equal to $\mathrm{d}_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)=0$, we must have

$$
\frac{\gamma_{1}^{q^{2}}-\gamma_{2}^{q^{2}}}{\gamma_{2}^{q^{2}}-\gamma_{3}^{q^{2}}}=\frac{\gamma_{2}^{1+q^{2}}-\gamma_{1}^{1+q^{2}}}{\gamma_{3}^{1+q^{2}}-\gamma_{2}^{1+q^{2}}}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}^{q^{2}} \gamma_{3}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{2}^{q^{2}} \gamma_{1}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{3}^{q^{2}} \gamma_{2}^{1+q^{2}}-\left(\gamma_{1}^{q^{2}} \gamma_{2}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{2}^{q^{2}} \gamma_{3}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{3}^{q^{2}} \gamma_{1}^{1+q^{2}}\right)=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By subtracting $\gamma_{1}^{q^{2}}$ times equation (15) from equation (16),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{1}^{q^{2}} \gamma_{3}^{1+q^{2}}-\gamma_{1}^{q^{2}} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}^{q^{2}}+\gamma_{3}^{q^{2}} \gamma_{2}^{1+q^{2}}-\gamma_{2}^{q^{2}} \gamma_{3}^{1+q^{2}} & \\
-\gamma_{1}^{q^{2}} \gamma_{2}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{1}^{q^{2}} \gamma_{3} \gamma_{2}^{q^{2}}-\gamma_{3} \gamma_{1}^{2 q^{2}}+\gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}^{2 q^{2}} & =0, \\
\left(\gamma_{3}-\gamma_{2}\right)\left\{\left(\gamma_{3}-\gamma_{1}\right)\left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{2}\right)\right\}^{q^{2}} & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we have $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}$ or $\gamma_{2}=\gamma_{3}$ or $\gamma_{3}=\gamma_{1}$ which is a contradiction.
By Proposition 7, and Lemma 4,
Theorem 2. For distinct three elements $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ in $k_{4}$, suppose $d_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right) \neq$ 0 . Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
B= & \mathrm{d}_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)^{-1}\left(\gamma_{3} \gamma_{1}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}^{1+q^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{3} \gamma_{2}^{1+q^{2}}+\gamma_{1} \gamma_{3}^{1+q^{2}}\right)\right), \\
C= & \mathrm{N}_{k_{4} \mid k_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}-B\right),}= \\
A= & \begin{cases}\sqrt{C} & \text { if } C \in k_{2}^{\times^{2}} \\
\sqrt{-C} & \text { if } C \notin k_{2}^{\times 2}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{i} & =A^{-1}\left(\gamma_{i}-B\right)(i=1,2,3), \\
a & =-A^{2-q^{2}} \delta_{1} \delta_{2} \delta_{3}, \\
b & =-A\left(\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}+\delta_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=\left(x-\gamma_{1}\right)\left(x-\gamma_{2}\right)\left(x-\gamma_{3}\right)$ on $k_{4}$ is transformed into Scholten form $E_{n}: y^{2}=a x^{3}+b x^{2}+b^{q^{2}} x+a^{q^{2}}$ by a transformation $y \rightarrow$ $a y, x \rightarrow a x+B$ over $k_{4}$.

Next we consider the case of $\mathrm{d}_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)=0$ for distinct three elements $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ in $k_{4}$. If the characteristic of $k$ is not 3 , we can assume $\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}-2 \gamma_{1} \neq 0$ without loss of generality. In fact, equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}-2 \gamma_{1}=0, \\
\gamma_{3}+\gamma_{1}-2 \gamma_{2}=0, \\
\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}-2 \gamma_{3}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

implies $3 \gamma_{2}=3 \gamma_{3}$.
Lemma 5. Suppose the characteristic of $k$ is not 3, and $\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}-2 \gamma_{1} \neq 0$ for distinct three elements $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ in $k_{4}$. Then, if $\mathrm{d}_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)=0$, condition (ii) in Proposition 7 holds. In such a case, $A, B$ in condition (ii) are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\frac{\alpha^{2} \gamma-\alpha \gamma^{2+q^{2}}}{\gamma^{2+2 q^{2}}-\alpha^{2}} \\
B & =-A+\gamma_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & =\left(\gamma_{2}-\gamma_{1}\right)\left(\gamma_{3}-\gamma_{1}\right)^{q^{2}} \\
\gamma & =\gamma_{2}-\gamma_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We can suppose $\gamma_{1}=0$ by a transformation $x \mapsto x+\gamma_{1}, y \mapsto y$. By assumption, we have $\gamma_{2} \pm \gamma_{3} \neq 0$. Moreover,

$$
0=\mathrm{d}_{2}\left(0, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)=\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}^{q^{2}}-\gamma_{2}^{q^{2}} \gamma_{3} .
$$

(We note that the property of $\mathrm{d}_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)=0$ remains valid under a transformation $x \mapsto x+\gamma_{1}, y \mapsto y$ by Lemma 4.)

So, we have $\alpha:=\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}^{q^{2}} \in k_{2}$. Let $\gamma=\gamma_{2}$. Roots of $f(x)$ are $0, \gamma, \frac{\alpha}{\gamma^{q^{2}}}$. By $\gamma_{2} \pm \gamma_{3} \neq 0$, we have $\alpha \pm \gamma^{1+q^{2}} \neq 0$.

Let $k_{4} \ni A=\frac{\alpha^{2} \gamma-\alpha \gamma^{2}+q^{2}}{\gamma^{2+2 q^{2}}-\alpha^{2}}$. By a transformation $x \mapsto x-A$, roots of $f(x)$ are transformed as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \mapsto & A \\
\gamma & \mapsto & A\left(1+\frac{\gamma}{A}\right) \\
\frac{\alpha}{\gamma^{q^{2}}} & \mapsto & A\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{A \gamma^{q^{2}}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Here, we let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{2} & :=1+\frac{\gamma}{A} \\
& =\frac{\gamma^{2+2 q^{2}}-\alpha \gamma^{1+q^{2}}}{\alpha^{2}-\alpha \gamma^{1+q^{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{3} & :=1+\frac{\alpha}{A \gamma^{q^{2}}} \\
& =\frac{\alpha \gamma^{1+q^{2}}-\alpha^{2}}{\alpha \gamma^{1+q^{2}}-\gamma^{2+2 q^{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, since $\delta_{2}, \delta_{3} \in k_{2}$ and $\delta_{2}=\delta_{3}^{-1}$, $\delta_{i}$ 's satisfy condition (ii) in Proposition 7.

By Proposition 7 and Lemma 5,
Theorem 3. Suppose the characteristic of $k$ is not 3, and $\mathrm{d}_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)=0$ for distinct three elements $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ in $k_{4}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & =\left(\gamma_{2}-\gamma_{1}\right)\left(\gamma_{3}-\gamma_{1}\right)^{q^{2}} \\
\gamma & =\gamma_{2}-\gamma_{1} \\
A & =\frac{\alpha^{2} \gamma-\alpha \gamma^{2+q^{2}}}{\gamma^{2+2 q^{2}-\alpha^{2}}} \\
B & =-A+\gamma_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{i} & =A^{-1}\left(\gamma_{i}-B\right)(i=1,2,3), \\
a & =-A^{2-q^{2}} \delta_{1} \delta_{2} \delta_{3}, \\
b & =-A\left(\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}+\delta_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, Weierstrass form $E_{w}: y^{2}=\left(x-\gamma_{1}\right)\left(x-\gamma_{2}\right)\left(x-\gamma_{3}\right)$ on $k_{4}$ is transformed into Scholten form $E_{n}: y^{2}=a x^{3}+b x^{2}+b^{q^{2}} x+a^{q^{2}}$ by a transformation $y \rightarrow$ $a y, x \rightarrow a x+B$ over $k_{4}$.

### 2.3 Example

We take an example of an elliptic curve of Weierstrass form over a quartic extension field of prime order, and we see it is transformed into Scholten form, and see the Scholten form is covered by a genus two hyperelliptic curve over the quadratic field. We used Magma V.2.10 for computations below.

Let $k$ be a prime field of characteristic $q=p=71, k_{2}$ be its quadratic extension defined by an irreducible polynomial $o^{2}-2 o+7$, and $k_{4}$ be its quadratic extension defined by an irreducible polynomial $r^{2}-o r+1$.

We generate randomly an elliptic curve of Weierstrass form $E_{w}: v_{1}^{2}+70 u_{1}^{3}+$ $\left(o^{2058} r+o^{4231}\right) u_{1}+o^{3375} r+o^{2069}=0$ on $k_{4}$ to have a prime order $n=25404727$. Since $\mathrm{j}\left(E_{w}\right)=o^{1854} r+o^{2692} \notin k_{2}$, we have $\mathrm{d}(\gamma) \neq 0$ by Proposition 4. Hence, by Theorem $1, E_{w}$ is transformed into Scholten form $v^{2}=a u^{3}+b u^{2}+b^{q^{2}} u+a^{q^{2}}$ over $k_{4}$. In fact, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=o^{2258} r+o^{214} \\
& b=o^{3519} r+o^{2654} \\
& B=-\left(o^{4167} r+o^{3302}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by a transformation $\Pi_{2}^{(1)}: E_{n} \simeq E_{w}$ over $k_{4}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=a^{-1}\left(u_{1}-B\right), \\
& v=a^{-1} v_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$E_{w}$ is transformed into $E_{n}: v^{2}=a u^{3}+b u^{2}+b^{q^{2}} u+a^{q^{2}}=\left(o^{2258} r+o^{214}\right) u^{3}+$ $\left(o^{3519} r+o^{2654}\right) u^{2}+\left(o^{999} r+o^{3103}\right) u+o^{4778} r+o^{355}$.

As seen in Section 2.1, Scholten form $E_{n}$ is covered by a genus two hyperelliptic curve $H_{0}: y_{0}^{2}=a\left(x_{0}-c\right)^{6}+b\left(x_{0}-c\right)^{4}\left(x_{0}-c^{q^{2}}\right)^{2}+b^{q^{2}}\left(x_{0}-c\right)^{2}\left(x_{0}-c^{q^{2}}\right)^{4}+$ $a^{q^{2}}\left(x_{0}-c^{q^{2}}\right)^{6}=o^{1463} x_{0}^{6}+o^{666} x_{0}^{5}+o^{2070} x_{0}^{4}+o^{1093} x_{0}^{3}+o^{794} x_{0}^{2}+o^{315} x_{0}+o^{1939}$. A morphism $\Pi_{2}^{(2)}$ from $H_{0}$ to $E_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=\left(\frac{x_{0}-c}{x_{0}-c^{q^{2}}}\right)^{2} \\
& v=\frac{y_{0}}{\left(x_{0}-c^{q^{2}}\right)^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the computations, we take $c=r$.
Let $F\left(x_{0}\right)$ denote the right-hand side of the equation for $H_{0}$. In order to make $F\left(x_{0}\right)$ monic, we apply a transformation $\Pi_{2}^{(3)}: H \simeq H_{0}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{1} & =F(\beta)^{-1 / 2}\left(x_{0}-\beta\right)^{-3} y_{0} \\
x & =1 /\left(x_{0}-\beta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\beta=3$ (which makes $\alpha:=F(\beta)=o^{2756}$ a square) to the equation for $H_{0}$. Then $H_{0}$ is transformed into a hyperelliptic curve $H: y_{1}^{2}=x^{6}+o^{2177} x^{5}+$ $o^{4311} x^{4}+o^{2447} x^{3}+o^{566} x^{2}+o^{3664} x+o^{3747}$.

Let $\Pi_{2}=\Pi_{2}^{(1)} \cdot \Pi_{2}^{(2)} \cdot \Pi_{2}^{(3)}: H \rightarrow E_{w}$. Take a point $G=\left(o^{387} r+o^{397}, o^{166} r+\right.$ $\left.o^{1205}\right)$ of order $n$ on $E_{w}$. By the definition of $\Pi_{2}^{(i)}(i=1,2,3)$, an inverse image $J=\Pi_{2}^{*}(G)$ of $G$ via map $\Pi_{2}: H \rightarrow E_{w}$ is computed to be zeros of

$$
\begin{aligned}
J= & \left\{a((\beta-c) x+1)^{2}-\left(G_{x}+\beta_{2}\right)\left(\left(\beta-c^{q^{2}}\right) x+1\right)^{2},\right. \\
& \left.a \alpha^{1 / 2} y_{1}-G_{y}\left(\left(\beta-c^{q^{2}}\right) x+1\right)^{3}\right\} \\
= & \left\{\left(o^{353} r+o^{4196}\right) x^{2}+\left(o^{1900} r+o^{1805}\right) x+o^{1922} r+o^{2318},\right. \\
& \left(o^{3720} r+o^{1533}\right) x^{3}+\left(o^{1693} r+o^{4323}\right) x^{2}+\left(o^{3636} r+o^{1592}\right) y_{1} \\
& \left.+\left(o^{1256} r+o^{3701}\right) x+o^{2686} r+o^{3725}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

which, as an ideal of $k_{4}\left[x, y_{1}\right]$, represents an element of Jacobian of hyperelliptic curve $H$ corresponding to $G\left(G_{x}, G_{y}\right.$ denotes $x$-coordinate and $y$-coordinate of $G$, respectively). We verified that discrete logarithm is preserved from $G$ to $J$.

## 3 A Weil Descent Attack against Hyperelliptic Curve Cryptosystems over Quadratic Extension Fields

Here, we show Weil descent attack is effective in the almost all of the genus two hyperelliptic curve cryptosystems over quadratic extension field of odd characteristics.

Given a genus two hyperelliptic curve over a quadratic extension field $k_{2}$ of order $q^{2}$, we construct an algebraic curve of genus nine over the subfield $k$ of order $q$ using the technique of scalar restriction. We explicitly reduce DLP on the hyperelliptic curve to DLP on the new curve, and apply a variant [1] of Gaudry method against $C_{a b}$ model $[16,4]$ of the curve. It solves DLP on the $C_{a b}$ model over $k$ in the amount of computations $O\left(q^{\frac{9}{5}}\right)$, moreover new variants of Gaudry method solves in $O\left(q^{\frac{34}{19}}\right)$ by [23], or $O\left(q^{\frac{17}{9}}\right)$ by [17, 14]. Thus, DLP on genus two hyperelliptic curve over quadratic extension field $k_{2}$ can be solved by Weil descent attack in the amount of computations less than $O\left(q^{2}\right)$ via Pollard's $\rho$-method.

This means, with the result of Section 2, that Weil descent attack is effective in many of the elliptic curve cryptosystems over quartic extension fields of odd characteristics.

### 3.1 Weil descent of hyperelliptic curves and their GHSsections

Let $H$ be a genus two hyperelliptic curve defined on a finite field $k_{2}=\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ which is a quadratic extension of a finite field $k=F_{q}$ of characteristic different from 2 :

$$
H: y^{2}=x^{6}+a x^{5}+b x^{4}+c x^{3}+d x^{2}+e x+f
$$

A scalar restriction $\Pi_{k_{2} / k} H$ of $H$ with respect to the extension $k_{2} / k$ is a twodimensional algebraic variety defined by the following two conjugate equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{1}^{2} & =x_{1}^{6}+a x_{1}^{5}+b x_{1}^{4}+c x_{1}^{3}+d x_{1}^{2}+e x_{1}+f, \\
y_{2}^{2} & =x_{2}^{6}+a^{q} x_{1}^{5}+b^{q} x_{2}^{4}+c^{q} x_{2}^{3}+d^{q} x_{2}^{2}+e^{q} x_{2}+f^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice $\Pi_{k_{2} / k} H$ is geometrically defined on $k$. Let $\sigma$ denote $q$-th Frobenius automorphism of $k_{2} / k$. $\sigma$ can be extended to the automorphism of $\Pi_{k_{2} / k} H$ by

$$
\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{2}, \sigma\left(y_{1}\right)=y_{2} .
$$

In Weil descent attack, we should find an algebraic curve $D$ on $\Pi_{k_{2} / k} H$, which is defined on $k$ and is of genus as small as possible, and we reduce DLP on the hyperelliptic curve $H$ to DLP on the curve $D$ against which we apply Gaudry method [12]. Since the complexity of Gaudry method is $O(g!)$ with respect to genus $g$, the genus of $D$ should be less than ten or around in the usual region of security parameters.

As seen above, in Weil descent attack, the choice of the curve $D$ on $\Pi_{K / k} H$ is critical. In the presented paper, just as in [13] and [9], we let $D$ be the intersection of $\Pi_{k_{2} / k} H$ and a hypersurface ( $\left.x:=\right) x_{1}=x_{2}$, which we call 'GHSsection'. GHS-section $D$ is an algebraic curve geometrically defined on $k$ by equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}^{2}=x^{6}+a x^{5}+b x^{4}+c x^{3}+d x^{2}+e x+f \\
& y_{2}^{2}=x^{6}+a^{q} x^{5}+b^{q} x^{4}+c^{q} x^{3}+d^{q} x^{2}+e^{q} x+f^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 8. If $F(x):=x^{6}+a x^{5}+b x^{4}+c x^{3}+d x^{2}+e x+f$ does not contain any non-trivial factor over $k$, then GHS-section $D$ is a nonsingular affine curve.

Proof. Suppose $D$ is a singular curve. Since Jacobian matrix $J$ of $D$ is

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
F^{\prime}(x) & 2 y_{1} & 0 \\
\bar{F}^{\prime}(x) & 0 & 2 y_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\bar{F}:=\sigma(F)$, both $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ must be zero on singular points. So, $F$ and $\bar{F}$ contain non-trivial irreducible common factor $a$ over $k_{2}$. Then, since $\bar{a}$ is also irreducible over $k_{2}$, we have $a=\bar{a}$ or $a$ and $\bar{a}$ are prime to each other. However, by assumption, we cannot have $a=\bar{a}$, so $a$ and $\bar{a}$ are prime to each other. Hence, $a \bar{a}$ be a factor over $k$ of $F$, which is a contradiction.

For simplicity, from now on we assume
Assumption $1 F(x)$ does not contain any non-trivial factor over $k$,
for hyperelliptic curve $H: y^{2}=F(x)$ to be attacked. However, even without Assumption 1, the attack remains unchanged except for the more complicated details of construction of $C_{a b}$ model for $D$.

In cases of [13] and [9], GHS-sections $D$ have huge genera. Remember that the complexity of Gaudry attack with respect to genus $g$ is $O(g!)$. So, in [13] and [9] Weil descent attack can be applied only in special cases in which we can take irreducible components of small genus of GHS-section $D$.

However, in our cases,
Proposition 9. The genus of GHS-section $D$ is nine.
Proof. Under Assumption 1, as seen in the proof of Proposition 8, $F(x)$ and $\bar{F}(x)$ are prime to each other. So, GHS-section $D$ has twelve ramification points over $H$. Then, for genus $g$ of $D$, by Hurwitz formula, we have $2 g-2=2 \cdot(2$. $2-2)+12=16$, which means $g=9$.

Therefore, we don't need to take irreducible components of $D$. The only thing we have to do is to construct a model over $k$ of GHS-section $D$ against which we can apply Gaudry attack. If we can construct such a model, DLP on $H$ can be solved by Gaudry attack in the amount of computations $O\left(q^{\frac{2 g}{g+1}}\right)=$ $O\left(q^{\frac{9}{5}}\right)$ [13], which is less than $O\left(q^{2}\right)$ for Pollard's $\rho$-method.

Gaudry attack is extended to $C_{a b}$ curves [1] and for which we have an efficient addition algorithms in Jacobian [4]. So, hereafter, we construct a $C_{a b}$ model over $k$ of GHS-section $D$.

## 3.2 $C_{a b}$ model of GHS-section

In general, to construct a $C_{a b}$ model of a given curve $D$, we need to choose a point on $D$, which we call a "base point", and need to determine all of the regular functions outside the base point on $D$.

Remember that GHS-section $D$ is defined by two equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}^{2}=x^{6}+a x^{5}+b x^{4}+c x^{3}+d x^{2}+e x+f \\
& y_{2}^{2}=x^{6}+a^{q} x^{5}+b^{q} x^{4}+c^{q} x^{3}+d^{q} x^{2}+e^{q} x+f^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since GHS-section $D$ is a double cover of hyperelliptic curve $y_{1}^{2}=x^{6}+a x^{5}+$ $b x^{4}+c x^{3}+d x^{2}+e x+f$, GHS-section $D$ has four points $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ and $P_{4}$ at infinity. As seen later, $P_{4}$ is fixed by the automorphism $\sigma$. We choose the point $P_{4}$ at infinity as the base point of $C_{a b}$ model of $D$. The property of $P_{4}$ being fixed by $\sigma$ will be useful to construct $C_{a b}$ model over $k$.

To determine all of the regular functions outside the base point $P_{4}$, we need to know the 'value' of a given function at points $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}$ at infinity. First, we find local parameter expansions of coordinate functions at those points at infinity.

### 3.2.1 Points of GHS-section at infinity

Let $t:=x^{2} / y_{1}$. $t$ is a common local parameter of hyperelliptic curve $H$ at points $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ at infinity. Removing $y_{1}$ from the first equation of $D$ with $t$, we get

$$
t^{-2} x^{4}=x^{6}+a x^{5}+b x^{4}+c x^{3}+d x^{2}+e x+f .
$$

This has two solutions $x=-t^{-1}+\alpha_{0}^{(1)}+\alpha_{1}^{(1)} t+\cdots$ and $x=t^{-1}+\alpha_{0}^{(2)}+\alpha_{1}^{(2)} t+$ $\cdots$, which give local parameter expansions of $x$ at $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$, respectively. Substituting this for $x$ of $y_{1}=t^{-1} x^{2}$, we get a local parameter expansion $y_{1}=$ $t^{-3}+\beta_{-2}^{(i)} t^{-2}+\beta_{-1}^{(i)} t^{-1}+\cdots$ of $y_{1}$ at $Q_{i}(i=1,2)$. Moreover, substituting local parameter expansion of $x$ at $Q_{i}$ for $x$ in the second equation $y_{2}^{2}=x^{6}+a^{q} x^{5}+$ $b^{q} x^{4}+c^{q} x^{3}+d^{q} x^{2}+e^{q} x+f^{q}$ of $D$, we get $y_{2}=-t^{-3}+\gamma_{-2}^{(2 i-1)} t^{-2}+\gamma_{-1}^{(2 i-1)} t^{-1}+\cdots$ and $y_{2}=t^{-3}+\gamma_{-2}^{(2 i)} t^{-2}+\gamma_{-1}^{(2 i)} t^{-1}+\cdots$, which give local parameter expansions of $y_{2}$ at two points of $D$ at infinity over $Q_{i}(i=1,2)$, respectively. Thus, we get the following local parameter expansions of points $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}$ on $D$ at
infinity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1}= & \left\{x=-t^{-1}+\alpha_{0}^{(1)}+\alpha_{1}^{(1)} t+\cdots,\right. \\
& y_{1}=t^{-3}+\beta_{-2}^{(1)} t^{-2}+\beta_{-1}^{(1)} t^{-1}+\cdots, \\
& \left.y_{2}=-t^{-3}+\gamma_{-2}^{(1)} t^{-2}+\gamma_{-1}^{(1)} t^{-1}+\cdots\right\}, \\
P_{2}= & \left\{x=-t^{-1}+\alpha_{0}^{(1)}+\alpha_{1}^{(1)} t+\cdots,\right. \\
& y_{1}=t^{-3}+\beta_{-2}^{(1)} t^{-2}+\beta_{-1}^{(1)} t^{-1}+\cdots, \\
& \left.y_{2}=t^{-3}+\gamma_{-2}^{(2)} t^{-2}+\gamma_{-1}^{(2)} t^{-1}+\cdots\right\}, \\
P_{3}= & \left\{x=t^{-1}+\alpha_{0}^{(2)}+\alpha_{1}^{(2)} t+\cdots,\right. \\
& y_{1}=t^{-3}+\beta_{-2}^{(2)} t^{-2}+\beta_{-1}^{(2)} t^{-1}+\cdots, \\
& \left.y_{2}=-t^{-3}+\gamma_{-2}^{(3)} t^{-2}+\gamma_{-1}^{(3)} t^{-1}+\cdots\right\} \\
P_{4}= & \left\{x=t^{-1}+\alpha_{0}^{(2)}+\alpha_{1}^{(2)} t+\cdots,\right. \\
& y_{1}=t^{-3}+\beta_{-2}^{(2)} t^{-2}+\beta_{-1}^{(2)} t^{-1}+\cdots, \\
& \left.y_{2}=t^{-3}+\gamma_{-2}^{(4)} t^{-2}+\gamma_{-1}^{(4)} t^{-1}+\cdots\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The set of points at infinity $\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}\right\}$ is obviously invariant under the automorphism $\sigma$. Moreover,

Proposition 10. $P_{4}$ is fixed by $\sigma$.
Proof. Let $v_{P}(f)$ denote the valuation of a function $f$ at point $P$.
Let $\sigma\left(P_{4}\right)=P_{1}$. By the expansions of $y_{1}, y_{2}$ at $P_{4}$, we know $v_{P_{4}}\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right) \geq$ -2 . On the other hand, we have $v_{P_{4}}\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)=v_{P_{1} \sigma}\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)=v_{P_{1}}\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)$. By the expansions $y_{1}, y_{2}$ at $P_{1}$, we see $v_{P_{1}}\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)=-3$, so $v_{P_{4}}\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)=-3$, which is a contradiction. Similarly, we know $\sigma\left(P_{4}\right) \neq P_{3}$.

Let $\sigma\left(P_{4}\right)=P_{2}$. By the expansion of $x$ at $P_{4}$, we have $v_{P_{4}}\left(x-t^{-1}\right) \geq 0$. On the other hand, $v_{P_{4}}\left(x-t^{-1}\right)=v_{P_{2}}{ }^{\sigma}\left(x-t^{-1}\right)=v_{P_{2}}\left(x-\left(t^{-1}\right)^{\sigma}\right)$. We have $x-\left(t^{-1}\right)^{\sigma}=x-y_{2} / x^{2}=-2 t^{-1}+\cdots$ at $P_{2}$. So, $v_{P_{4}}\left(x-t^{-1}\right)=v_{P_{2}}\left(x-\left(t^{-1}\right)^{\sigma}\right)=$ -1 , which is also a contradiction.

Thus, $\sigma\left(P_{4}\right)=P_{4}$.

### 3.2.2 Regular functions outside the base point

We have to determine regular functions outside the base point $P_{4}$ on GHSsection $D$. Those functions are regular in $x-y_{1}-y_{2}$ affine space. So, they are expressed by polynomials on $x, y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ since $D$ is nonsingular in the affine space by Assumption 1.

Since GHS-section $D$ is of genus nine by Proposition 9 , assuming $P_{4}$ is not a Weierstrass point of $D$, the minimum generators of pole numbers at $P_{4}$ is $\{10,11, \ldots, 19\}$. So, polynomials $f_{10}, f_{11}, \ldots, f_{19}$, which has the unique pole of order $10,11, \ldots, 19$ at $P_{4}$, respectively, generate the algebra of regular functions outside $P_{4}$. (Even if $P_{4}$ is a Weierstrass point, the situation is similar except for members of the minimum generators of pole numbers at $P_{4}$.)

In order to construct such a polynomial $f_{i}$ regular away $P_{4}$, we recursively take a suitable linear sum of polynomials which have the same pole order at $P_{i}$, until we get a polynomial regular at $P_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$. Notice we can know the 'value' of polynomials at $P_{i}$ using local parameter expansions of $P_{i}$ in Section 3.2.1.

Using those polynomials $f_{10}, f_{11}, \ldots, f_{19}$, we can construct an explicit $C_{10,11, \cdots, 19}$ model with a base point $P_{4}$ of GHS-section $D$ over $k_{2}$ [16]. To construct an $C_{10,11, \ldots, 19}$ model $C$ over $k$, instead of $k_{2}$, it is sufficient to use $g_{i}=\operatorname{Tr}_{k_{2} / k}\left(f_{i}\right)$ $(i=10,11, \ldots, 19)$ instead of $f_{i}$. Here, $\operatorname{Tr}_{k_{2} / k}$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{k_{2} / k}\left(\Sigma a_{l, m, n} x^{l} y_{1}^{m} y_{2}^{n}\right)=\Sigma a_{l, m, n}^{q} x^{l} y_{2}^{m} y_{1}^{n}
$$

We notice that $g_{i}$ is regular away $P_{4}$ and the pole order of $g_{i}$ at $P_{4}$ remains to be $i$ by Proposition 10 .

### 3.3 Reduction

In Section 3.2, we construct $C_{10,11, \ldots, 19}$ model $C$ over $k_{2}$ and $k$ of GHS-section D:

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{2}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) & \stackrel{\phi^{*}}{\simeq} k_{2}\left(f_{10}, f_{11}, \ldots, f_{19}\right) \\
& =k_{2}\left(g_{10}, g_{11}, \ldots, g_{19}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let the isomorphism from $C_{10,11, \ldots, 19}$ model $C$ to GHS-section $D$, corresponding to $\phi^{*}$, be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi: C & \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} D \\
\left(g_{10}, g_{11}, \ldots, g_{19}\right) & \mapsto\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\pi$ be a projection from GHS-section $D$ to hyperelliptic curve $H$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi: D & \rightarrow H \\
\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) & \mapsto\left(x, y_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The composition $\Pi_{1}:=\pi \cdot \phi$ is a map from $C$ to $H$.
As in Section 2, we suppose hyperelliptic curve $H$ is a double-cover of an elliptic curve $E$ on $k_{4}$ with a map $\Pi_{2}$ :

$$
\Pi_{2}: H \rightarrow E
$$

Let $\Pi=\Pi_{2} \cdot \Pi_{1}: C \rightarrow E$, which induces a morphism $\Psi$ between Jacobians:

$$
\Psi: E\left(k_{4}\right) \xrightarrow{\Pi^{*}} \operatorname{Jac}_{k_{4}}(C) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Norm}_{k_{4} / k}} \operatorname{Jac}_{k}(C)
$$

Proposition 11. Let $G$ be an element of $E\left(k_{4}\right)$ of prime order $n$, which is larger enough than the degree of $\Pi^{*}$. Moreover, suppose $n^{2}$ does not divide the order of Jacobian $\mathrm{Jac}_{k_{4}}(C)$. Then, $G$ does not vanish under $\Psi$.

Proof. Since the order $n$ of $G$ is large enough, $G$ does not vanish under $\Pi^{*}$. By the theory of Weil descent, there is a surjection from $\mathrm{Jac}_{k}(C)$ to $E\left(k_{4}\right)$. So, there is an element of order $n$ in $\operatorname{Jac}_{k}(C)$. Then, by the assumption that $n^{2}$ does not divide the order of Jacobian $\mathrm{Jac}_{k_{4}}(C), \Pi^{*}(G)$ must belong to $\mathrm{Jac}_{k}(C)$, as pointed out by Galbraith, and Smart [11] in a more general situation. So it does not vanish under $\operatorname{Norm}_{k_{4} / k}$.

By Proposition 11, we can suppose DLP on an elliptic curve $E$ on $k_{4}$ is reduced to DLP on $C_{10,11, \ldots, 19}$ curve $C$ on $k$ by homomorphism $\Psi$. Details of the way to compute homomorphism $\Psi$ are illustrated through examples.

### 3.4 Examples

We show examples which shows DLP on elliptic curves on a quartic extension field $k_{4}$ is reduced to DLP on $C_{10,11, \ldots, 19}$ curves on the subfield $k$. In the computations below, we used Magma V.2.10.

### 3.4.1 Example 1

Let $k$ be a prime field of characteristic $q=p=71, k_{2}$ be its quadratic extension defined by an irreducible polynomial $o^{2}-2 o+7$, and $k_{4}$ be its quadratic extension defined by an irreducible polynomial $r^{2}-o r+1$.

We have seen in Section 2.3 that an elliptic curve $E_{w}: v_{1}^{2}+70 u_{1}^{3}+\left(o^{2058} r+\right.$ $\left.o^{4231}\right) u_{1}+o^{3375} r+o^{2069}=0$ on $k_{4}$, which has a prime order $n=25404727$, is covered by a genus two hyperelliptic curve $H: y_{1}^{2}=x^{6}+o^{2177} x^{5}+o^{4311} x^{4}+$ $o^{2447} x^{3}+o^{566} x^{2}+o^{3664} x+o^{3747}$ on $k_{2}$ via map $\Pi_{2}=\Pi_{2}^{(1)} \cdot \Pi_{2}^{(2)} \cdot \Pi_{2}^{(3)}: H \rightarrow E_{w}$.

As in Section 3.2.1, We take GHS-section $D$ of the scalar restriction $\Pi_{k_{2} / k} H$ of $H$. Parameter expansions with respect to $t=x^{2} / y_{1}$ of points $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}$ at infinity on $D$ are computed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}: \\
& x=70 t^{-1}+o^{4265}+o^{261} t+o^{4535} t^{2}+o^{2836} t^{3}+\cdots \\
& y_{1}=t^{-3}+o^{2177} t^{-2}+o^{4111} t^{-1}+o^{3867}+o^{3086} t+\cdots \\
& y_{2}=70 t^{-3}+o^{2713} t^{-2}+o^{4163} t^{-1}+o^{3058}+o^{4299} t+\cdots \\
& P_{2}: \\
& x=70 t^{-1}+o^{4265}+o^{261} t+o^{4535} t^{2}+o^{2836} t^{3}+\cdots \\
& y_{1}=t^{-3}+o^{2177} t^{-2}+o^{4111} t^{-1}+o^{3867}+o^{3086} t+\cdots \\
& y_{2}=t^{-3}+o^{193} t^{-2}+o^{1643} t^{-1}+o^{538}+o^{1779} t+\cdots \\
& P_{3}: \\
& x=t^{-1}+o^{4265}+o^{2781} t+o^{4535} t^{2}+o^{316} t^{3}+\cdots \\
& y_{1}=t^{-3}+o^{4697} t^{-2}+o^{4111} t^{-1}+o^{1347}+o^{3086} t+\cdots \\
& y_{2}=70 t^{-3}+o^{193} t^{-2}+o^{4163} t^{-1}+o^{538}+o^{4299} t+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{4}: \\
& x=t^{-1}+o^{4265}+o^{2781} t+o^{4535} t^{2}+o^{316} t^{3}+\cdots \\
& y_{1}=t^{-3}+o^{4697} t^{-2}+o^{4111} t^{-1}+o^{1347}+o^{3086} t+\cdots \\
& y_{2}=t^{-3}+o^{2713} t^{-2}+o^{1643} t^{-1}+o^{3058}+o^{1779} t+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

As in Section 3.2.2, with these parameter expansions, we obtain functions $f_{10}, f_{11}, \ldots, f_{19}$ on $D$ which has the unique pole at $P_{4}$ of order $10,11, \ldots, 19$, respectively. Appling $\operatorname{Tr}_{k_{2} / k}$ to them, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{10} & =o^{1264} x^{3} y_{1}^{2}+3 x^{3} y_{1} y_{2}+o^{271} x^{3} y_{1}+\cdots+o^{1754} y_{2}, \\
g_{11} & =o^{1386} x^{3} y_{1}^{2}+x^{3} y_{1} y_{2}+o^{2108} x^{3} y_{1}+\cdots+o^{630} y_{2}, \\
\vdots & \\
g_{19} & =o^{3534} x^{3} y_{1}^{2}+41 x^{3} y_{1} y_{2}+o^{3210} x^{3} y_{1}+\cdots+o^{1622} y_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Every $g_{i}$ has the unique pole at $P_{4}$ of order $i$ as well as $f_{i}$.
Among those $g_{10}, g_{11}, \ldots, g_{19}$, we have following relations $r_{22}, r_{23}, \ldots, r_{31}$ which define $C_{10,11, \ldots, 19}$ curve $C$ on $k$ in $g_{10}-g_{11}-\cdots-g_{19}$ affine space:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{22} & =g_{11}^{2}-\left(5 g_{10} g_{12}+42 g_{10} g_{11}+18 g_{10}^{2}+\cdots+25\right) \\
r_{23} & =g_{11} g_{12}-\left(26 g_{10} g_{13}+38 g_{10} g_{12}+\cdots+58\right), \\
\vdots & \\
r_{31} & =g_{12} g_{19}-\left(9 g_{10}^{2} g_{11}+62 g_{10}^{3}+10 g_{10} g_{19}+\cdots+28\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As seen in Section 2.3, a point $G=\left(o^{387} r+o^{397}, o^{166} r+o^{1205}\right)$ on $E_{w}$ of order $n$ is mapped via $\Pi_{2}^{*}$ to the element $J$ of Jacobian of $H$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
J= & \left\{\left(o^{353} r+o^{4196}\right) x^{2}+\left(o^{1900} r+o^{1805}\right) x+o^{1922} r+o^{2318},\right. \\
& \left(o^{3720} r+o^{1533}\right) x^{3}+\left(o^{1693} r+o^{4323}\right) x^{2}+\left(o^{3636} r+o^{1592}\right) y_{1} \\
& \left.+\left(o^{1256} r+o^{3701}\right) x+o^{2686} r+o^{3725}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we compute an image of $J$ via map $\Pi_{1}^{*}$. Remember $\Pi_{1}=\pi \cdot \phi$ : $C \rightarrow D \rightarrow H$ (see Section 3.3). Let $R=k_{4}\left[x, y_{1}\right]$ be a coordinate ring of $H$ and $R_{1}=k_{4}\left[x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ be a coordinate ring of $D$, and $R_{2}=k\left[\check{g}_{10}, \ldots, \check{g}_{19}\right]$ be a coordinate ring of $C . \quad J$ is an ideal of $R . \quad J:=\pi^{*}(J)$ is nothing but an ideal generated by $J$ in $R_{1}$. $J$ corresponds to a divisor with poles of the first order at $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$, and at $P_{4}$. We make those poles at $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ vanish by taking the product of $J$ with a polynomial with zeros at $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$, e.g. $h_{13}:=40 g_{13}+7 g_{12}+44 g_{11}+12 g_{10}+31$. Then an image of $h_{13} J$ (which is in the same ideal class of $J$ ) under $\phi^{*}$ can be computed using an elimination ideal as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J \leftarrow J \cdot h_{13} \\
& J \leftarrow \\
& \text { Eliminate }\left(J+\left\{\check{g}_{10}-g_{10}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right), \check{g}_{11}-g_{11}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right), \cdots,\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\leftarrow \check{g}_{19}-g_{19}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right\},\left\{x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}\right) \\
& J \text { Reduce }(J),
\end{aligned}
$$

where Eliminate $\left(\cdot,\left\{x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}\right)$ denotes an ideal in $R_{2}$ obtained by eliminating the variables $x, y_{1}, y_{2}$ from the ideal of the first argument, which shows relations among $g_{i}(i=10,11, \ldots, 19)$ over $J$, that is the image of $J$ by $\Pi_{1}^{*}$. Reduce $(J)$ reduces an ideal $J$ (for details, see [4]).

Finally, we compute $\operatorname{Norm}_{k_{4} / k}(J)$ :

$$
J \leftarrow \mathrm{j} \operatorname{Sum}(\operatorname{jSum}(J, \tilde{J}), \mathrm{j} \operatorname{Sum}(\tilde{\tilde{J}}, \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{J}}})),
$$

where $\operatorname{jSum}(J, \tilde{J})$ denotes a sum of $J$ and its conjugate $\tilde{J}$ over $k$ in Jacobian of $C$. For details of Reduce and jSum, see [4].

Thus, we have computed $J=\Psi(G)=\operatorname{Norm}_{k_{4} / k} \cdot \Pi_{1}^{*} \cdot \Pi_{2}^{*}(G)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
J= & \left\{g_{17}^{2}+37 g_{17}+21 g_{16}+49 g_{15}+33 g_{14}+\cdots+59,\right. \\
& g_{16} g_{17}+45 g_{17}+15 g_{16}+45 g_{15}+21 g_{14}+\cdots+63, \\
& \cdots \\
& \left.g_{18}+24 g_{17}+27 g_{16}+31 g_{15}+64 g_{14}+\cdots+64\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which denotes an element of Jacobian over $k$ of $C_{10,11, \ldots, 19}$ curve $C$ (for simplicity, we use the letter $g$ for $\check{g}$ ) corresponding to $G$ on $E_{w}$.

Similarly, $m=25415194$-times point $G_{m}=\left(o^{637} r+o^{224}, o^{1671} r+o^{3481}\right)$ of $G$ is mapped to an element

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{m}= & \left\{g_{17}^{2}+6 g_{17}+70 g_{16}+66 g_{15}+15 g_{14}+\cdots+68\right. \\
& g_{16} g_{17}+5 g_{17}+20 g_{16}+56 g_{15}+16 g_{14}+\cdots+11 \\
& \cdots \\
& \left.g_{18}+23 g_{17}+34 g_{16}+65 g_{15}+18 g_{14}+\cdots+4\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

of Jacobian of $C$. We verified that $m$-times element of $J$ is actually equal to $J_{m}$ in Jacobian of $C$. Thus, we verified that DLP on elliptic curve $E_{w}$ on $k_{4}$ is actually reduced to DLP on $C_{10,11, \ldots, 19}$ curve $C$ on $k$.

### 3.4.2 Example 2

We show an example of group of 160 -bit order.
Let $k$ be the prime field of characteristic $q=p=2^{40}-2^{35}-1, k_{2}$ be its quadratic extension defined by an irreducible polynomial $o^{2}+352619714346$, and $k_{4}$ be its quadratic extension defined by an irreducible polynomial $r^{2}+$ $702753204573 o+465976829831$.

An elliptic curve

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{w}: & v_{1}^{2}=u_{1}^{3}+((773569929047 o+698785454132) r+892468792697 o \\
& +773390597884) u_{1}+(245022657483 o+657619174138) r \\
& +721187940068 o+865450731541
\end{aligned}
$$

on $k_{4}$ has a 160 -bit prime order

$$
n=1287200406650928609777376029597716043015507861907 .
$$

As in Example 1, we found that DLP on $E_{w}$ is reduced to DLP on the following $C_{10,11, \ldots, 19}$ curve $C$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{11}^{2}-\left(671010913434 g_{10} g_{12}+306446345201 g_{10} g_{11}+205461673669 g_{10}^{2}+\cdots\right. \\
& +675147796101)=0 \\
& g_{11} g_{12}-\left(752537421825 g_{10} g_{13}+1016531429604 g_{10} g_{12}+897328181722 g_{10} g_{11}\right. \\
& +\cdots+1053682994222)=0 \\
& \vdots \\
& g_{12} g_{19}-\left(128634052382 g_{10}^{2} g_{11}+950367786029 g_{10}^{3}+457707828730 g_{10} g_{19}\right. \\
& +\cdots+665817232135)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

A point

$$
G=(1,(448960196430 o+540742096931) r+521019129313 o+684726004416)
$$

on $E_{w}$ is mapped to an element

$$
\begin{aligned}
J= & \left\{g_{17}^{2}+3720685308 g_{17}+760318447938 g_{16}+\cdots+930677256954\right. \\
& g_{16} g_{17}+725294630540 g_{17}+222096222048 g_{16}+\cdots+752506763900, \\
& \cdots, \\
& \left.g_{18}+942200891029 g_{17}+935848743981 g_{16}+\cdots+234904933666\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

of Jacobian of $C$. We verified that discrete-log is preserved from $G$ to $J$.

## 4 Conclusion

This paper showed that Weil descent attack is effective uniformly in many of elliptic curves on quartic fields of odd characteristic or hyperelliptic curves on quadratic fields of odd characteristic. However, our attack is estimated to be effective with groups of around 210 bits or longer. To attack (hyper-)elliptic curve cryptosystems with 160 -bit group in the real world, we need some works to make the method more efficient.
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