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#### Abstract

The optimization of the Harley algorithm is an active area of hyperelliptic curve cryptography. We propose an efficient method for software implementation of genus three Harley algorithm over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. Our method is based on fast finite field multiplication using one SIMD operation, SSE2 on Pentium 4, and parallelized Harley algorithm. We demonstrated that software implementation using proposed method is about $11 \%$ faster than conventional implementation.
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## 1 Introduction

Hyperelliptic curve cryptography (HECC) was proposed by Koblitz [1]. A practical addition algorithm was proposed by Cantor [2] and Koblitz [1]. HECC has the advantage of a shorter operand length than elliptic curve cryptography (ECC), with the same level of security. The addition algorithm for divisor class groups of hyperelliptic curves, however, is more complicated and therefore its calculation is slower. This algorithm can be applied to arbitrary genus curves. On the other hand, the efficient attacks against curves of genus higher than or equal to four exist $[3,4]$. It is advisable therefore to focus on genus two and genus three curves.

Recently, a new addition algorithm for genus two curves over odd prime characteristic was proposed by Harley [5, 6]. This algorithm drastically reduced the cost of calculating divisor addition and doubling by specifying the genus of curves. His study result triggered other researches, which eventually brought improvements and extensions to the algorithm [7-16].

The Harley algorithm has long and involved calculation procedures. In spite of this disadvantage, their parallelization was not enough studied. Most recently, this approach was proposed by Mishra et al [17]. They parallelized the affine and the inversion-free formulae of genus 2 curves. Their work targets at hardware implementation on the assumption of a multiprocessor environment, e.g. 4, 8 and 12 multipliers. However, speeding up the calculations based on a multiprocessor environment requires larger chip area, which is an area/speed tradeoff. We are interested in 2 or 3 parallel-field operations in SIMD (Single Instruction, Multiple Data) styles, which means that multiple data sets are processed at the same time because we also target software implementation. In particular, genus three HECC is suitable for SIMD because of size of its definition field. We propose a fast software implementation technique for genus 3 curve over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ using SIMDstyle operations. Many processors have SIMD architecture such as MMX and SSE for Pentium, AltiVec for PowerPC, and VIS for SPARC.

Several studies of the parallel arithmetic with SIMD of ECC have been reported [18-21]. In these works, independent finite field operations of ECC algorithm are executed in same time. These approaches are easily extended to the HECC addition algorithm. In the ECC case, however, the size of the finite field in ECC is larger than the present computer word size. Therefore finite field operations have to be divided several times. On the other hand, finite field operations for genus three HECC can be executed at one time because the definition field of genus three is smaller than 64 bits. In addition, SSE2 instructions provide $2 \times 64$ bits shift and logical operations. We propose a parallel finite field multiplication, which means that two finite field multiplication $A B$ and $A C$ are executed at one time, over binary fields using SSE2. To apply this parallel finite field multiplication to the Harley algorithm, we manually optimized parallelized sequences of HECADD and HECDBL. In this paper, we report an efficient method for software implementation of genus three Harley algorithm over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. Section 3 proposes the finite field multiplication using SSE2 and Section 4 shows parallel sequences of the procedure of genus three addition algorithm over binary fields. Section 5 presents the software implementation resulted from the proposed method.

## 2 Background

A genus $g$ hyperelliptic curve $C$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ is defined as $C: y^{2}+h(x) y=f(x)$, where $h(x), f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}[x], \operatorname{deg} h \leq g, \operatorname{deg} f=2 g+1, f$ is a monic polynomial and $C$ is a non-singular curve. $J_{c}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right)$ is the Jacobian variety of $C$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. Any divisor class of $J_{c}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right)$ can be represented by a semi-reduced divisor. A semi-reduced divisor can be expressed by two polynomials $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}[x]$ which satisfy

1. $a$ is a monic polynomial,
2. $\operatorname{deg} b<\operatorname{deg} a$,
3. $f+h b+b^{2} \equiv 0 \bmod a$.

This representation was reported by Mumford[22]. A semi-reduced divisor with $\operatorname{deg} a \leq g$ is called a reduced divisor and any divisor class of $J_{c}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right)$ is uniquely represented by a reduced divisor. Hereafter we denote $D \in J_{c}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right)$ by a reduced divisor $D=(a, b) . J_{c}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right)$ has an additive group structure. In [5] and [6], Harley defined a group operation to genus two curves over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, where $p$ is an odd prime number greater than three. In [16], Sugizaki et al. showed an extension of the Harley algorithm to curves over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ for computing $D_{1}+D_{2}=D_{3}$ $(\mathrm{HECADD})$ and $2 D_{1}=D_{3}(\mathrm{HECDBL})$. The extended Harley algorithm over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ is follows.

```
Algorithm 1 HECADD
Input: \(D_{1}=\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right), D_{2}=\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right), \operatorname{deg} u_{1}=\operatorname{deg} u_{2}=2, \operatorname{gcd}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=1\)
Output: \(D_{3}=\left(u_{3}, v_{3}\right)\)
1. \(U \leftarrow u_{1} u_{2}\)
2. \(S \leftarrow\left(v_{2}+v_{1}\right) / u_{1} \bmod u_{2}\)
3. \(V \leftarrow S u_{1}+v_{1} \bmod U\)
4. \(U \leftarrow\left(f+h V+V^{2}\right) / U\)
5. Make \(U\) monic
6. \(V \leftarrow V \bmod U\)
7. \(u_{3} \leftarrow U, v_{3} \leftarrow U+V+h\)
8. return \(\left(u_{3}, v_{3}\right)\)
```

```
Algorithm 2 HECDBL
Input: \(D_{1}=\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right), \operatorname{deg} u_{1}=2, \operatorname{gcd}\left(u_{1}, h\right)=1\)
Output: \(D_{3}=\left(u_{3}, v_{3}\right)\)
1. \(U \leftarrow u_{1}^{2}\)
2. \(S \leftarrow h^{-1}\left(f+h v_{1}+v_{1}^{2}\right) / u_{1} \bmod u_{1}\)
3. \(V \leftarrow S u_{1}+v_{1} \bmod U\)
4. \(U \leftarrow\left(f+h V+V^{2}\right) / U\)
5. Make \(U\) monic
6. \(V \leftarrow V \bmod U\)
7. \(u_{3} \leftarrow U, v_{3} \leftarrow U+V+h\)
8. return \(\left(u_{3}, v_{3}\right)\)
```

These algorithms are similar to the elliptic curve chord-tangent law. We explain Algorithm 1. From step 1 to step 3 is called a composition part and from step 4 to step 7 is called a reduction part. In a composition part, we compute the semi-reduced divisor $D=(U, V)$ such that $D \sim-D_{3}$ where the symbol $\sim$ indicates to be linearly equivalent. In step 1 , we compute $U=u_{1} u_{2}$. In step 2 and step 3 , we compute $V$ such that $f+h V+V^{2} \equiv 0 \bmod U . V$ is obtained by $V \equiv v_{1} \bmod u_{1}$ and $V \equiv v_{2} \bmod u_{2}$ via the Chinese remainder theorem. In a reduction part, we compute a reduced divisor $D_{3}^{\prime}=\left(u_{3}^{\prime}, v_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $D_{3}^{\prime} \sim D$. We compute $u_{3}^{\prime}=\left(f+h V+V^{2}\right) / u_{1} u_{2}$ and make $u_{3}^{\prime}$ a monic polynomial. Then we compute $v_{3}^{\prime} \equiv V \bmod u_{3}^{\prime}$. Finally, we output a divisor $D_{3}=-D_{3}^{\prime}$ as: $D_{3}=$ $\left(u_{3}, v_{3}\right)=\left(u_{3}^{\prime}, u_{3}^{\prime}+v_{3}^{\prime}+h\right)$. HECDBL is similar to HECADD, but the Chinese remainder theorem is replaced by the Newton iteration. In these algorithms, the Karatsuba algorithm is used to reduce the number of multiplications. In algorithms, HECADD takes 25 multiplications and 1 inversion, and HECDBL takes 27 multiplications and 1 inversion.

We deal with the most common situation, i.e., in HECADD, $\operatorname{deg} u_{1}=\operatorname{deg} u_{2}=$ $2, \operatorname{gcd}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=1$ and in HECDBL, $\operatorname{deg} u_{1}=2, \operatorname{gcd}\left(u_{1}, h\right)=1$. We will consider only this situation because the probability it will not occur is $O\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right)$ [23].

## 3 Fast Finite Field Multiplication for Genus Three Using SSE2

On the 64 -bit architecture, $\mathbb{F}_{2^{59}}$ elements are represented by single-precision. Streaming SIMD Extension 2 (SSE2)[24, 25], which the Intel processor Pentium 4 includes, can deal with two $\mathbb{F}_{2^{59}}$ elements in parallel. In this section we discuss a parallel finite field multiplication algorithm over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, represented by 64 -bit single-precision, using SSE2. The following multiplication accelerates addition algorithm for genus three HECC over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{59}}$.

### 3.1 Genus Three Hyperelliptic Curve over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{59}}$

In this work we choose an example of the genus three hyperelliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ in some papers which is suitable for cryptography, i.e. its Jacobian has a large prime order subgroup. We use an isomorphic curve $C_{1}$ of the hyperelliptic curve described in Section 4.2 of [26]:

$$
y^{2}+h(x) y=f(x) \text { over } \mathbb{F}_{2^{59}}
$$

$$
\mathbb{F}_{2^{59}} \text { is defined by } t^{59}+t^{6}+t^{5}+t^{4}+t^{3}+t+1=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(x)= & x^{3}+x^{2}+6723 \text { B8D13BC30C } 7 x+72 \mathrm{D} 7 \mathrm{EE} 15 \mathrm{~A} 5 \mathrm{C} 9 \mathrm{CF} 5, \\
f(x)= & x^{7}+x^{6}+6723 \mathrm{~B} 8 \mathrm{D} 13 \mathrm{BC} 30 \mathrm{C} 7 x^{5}+72 \mathrm{D} 7 \mathrm{EE} 15 \mathrm{~A} 5 \mathrm{C} 9 \mathrm{CF} 4 x^{4} \\
& +24198 \mathrm{E} 10 \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~B} 7566 x^{3}+1 \mathrm{~EB} 9 \mathrm{AF} 07 \mathrm{BD} 3 \mathrm{~B} 303 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The order of the Jacobian of $C_{1}$ is:

$$
2 \times 95780971304118053647396689122057683977359360476125197
$$

The curve has the same level security of 176bit-ECC.

### 3.2 SMUL: Conventional Finite Field Multiplication over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$

Some algorithms of finite field multiplication over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ was proposed [27]. We explain a conventional algorithm of finite field multiplication over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. The multiplication of two finite field elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ is accomplished as follows. Let $A$, $B \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, F(x)$ be an irreducible binary polynomial of degree $n . A$ and $B$ can be represented by binary polynomial of degree at most $n-1$. Conventional finite field multiplication $A B \bmod F(x)$ is calculated the following 2 steps.

Step1. A fast algorithm for multiplication of two polynomials is presented Algorithm 5 in [28]. We make a table $T_{B}[i]$ for $0 \leq i<16$ as:

$$
T_{B}[j] \leftarrow\left(j_{3} x^{3}+j_{2} x^{2}+j_{1} x+j_{0}\right) B
$$

where $j=\left(j_{3} j_{2} j_{1} j_{0}\right)_{2}$. Then to calculate $A B$, this table is referred to by scanning $A$ every 4 bits.

Step2. Reduction $A B \bmod F(x)$ is accomplished as explained Algorithm 6 in [27]. In this work, we use $F(x)=x^{59}+x^{6}+x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x+1$ as an irreducible polynomial and the following congruence:

$$
x^{64} \equiv x^{11}+x^{10}+x^{9}+x^{8}+x^{6}+x^{5} \bmod F(x)
$$

Using this congruence, $A B$ can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A B & =A B_{\mathrm{h}} \times x^{64}+A B_{1} \\
& \equiv A B_{\mathrm{h}} \times\left(x^{11}+x^{10}+x^{9}+x^{8}+x^{6}+x^{5}\right)+A B_{1} \bmod F(x) \\
& \equiv A B_{1}^{\prime} \bmod F(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

A reduction of $A B_{\mathrm{h}}$ can be performed by adding $A B_{\mathrm{h}} \times x^{i}$ six times to $A B$, and $A B_{1}^{\prime}$ of degree 63 can be obtained. Then the remaining terms of $A B$ from degree 63 to degree 59 can be reduced by adding $A B_{1}^{\prime} / x^{i}$ six times to $A B$. In this paper, we call this finite field multiplication algorithm composed of Algorithm 5 in [28] and Algorithm 6 in [27] as SMUL.

### 3.3 PMUL: Parallel Finite Field Multiplication using SSE2

We extend SMUL to finite field multiplication in parallel using SSE2. Before we explain details of the algorithm, we mention SSE2 instructions.

SSE2 Instructions: The Intel processors have SIMD instructions called MMX[24,25]. The processor introduces four new data types: an $8 \times 8$-bit, $4 \times 16$ bit, $2 \times 32$-bit, and 64 -bit data block. Additionally, the Intel Pentium 4 processor includes SSE2 technology that allows MMX instructions to work on a 128-bit data block. Therefore, SSE2 instructions deal with two $\mathbb{F}_{q},|q| \leq 64$ elements at once. SSE2 instructions can multiply a $4 \times 16$-bit and a $4 \times 16$-bit or a $2 \times 32$-bit
and a $2 \times 32$-bit, however cannot multiply a 64 -bit and a 64 -bit. On the other hand, it can shift a $2 \times 64$-bit and perform 128 bitwise logical operations. $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ multiplication only needs shift and logical operations. These SSE2 characteristics show that only $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ elements are represented by single-precision.

We propose a parallel finite field multiplication using SSE2 (PMUL). The algorithm is the following:

```
Algorithm 3 PMUL: Parallel Finite Field Multiplication using SSE2
Input: \(A, B, C\)
Output: \(D=A B \bmod F, E=A C \bmod F, F=x^{59}+x^{6}+x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x+1\)
1. \(H \leftarrow 0, L \leftarrow 0\)
2. For \(j=0\) to 15
    \(T_{B C}[j] \leftarrow\)\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline\(\left(j_{3} x^{3}+j_{2} x^{2}+j_{1} x+j_{0}\right) B\) & \(\left(j_{3} x^{3}+j_{2} x^{2}+j_{2} x+j_{0}\right) C\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
3. For \(j=0\) to 14
    \(i \leftarrow A / x^{4 j} \bmod \left(x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right)\)
    \(H \leftarrow H \oplus\left(T_{B C}[i] \gg(64-4 j)\right)\)
    \(L \leftarrow L \oplus\left(T_{B C}[i] \ll 4 j\right)\)
4. \(L \leftarrow L \oplus(H \ll 11) \oplus(H \ll 10) \oplus(H \ll 9) \oplus(H \ll 8) \oplus(H \ll 6) \oplus(H \ll 5)\)
5. \(L \leftarrow L \oplus(L \gg 53) \oplus(L \gg 54) \oplus(L \gg 55) \oplus(L \gg 56) \oplus(L \gg 58) \oplus(L \gg 59)\)
6. \(L \leftarrow L \wedge\)\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline\(x^{58}+x^{57}+\ldots+x+1\) & \(x^{58}+x^{57}+\ldots+x+1\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
7. \(D \leftarrow L_{\mathrm{h}}, E \leftarrow L_{1} \quad / * L=A B \bmod F \mid A C \bmod F \quad * /\)
8. return \(D, E\)
```

Algorithm 3 can compute parallel $A B$ and $A C$ with the table $T_{B C}$ by scanning $A$. We note that the algorithm cannot compute parallel $A B$ and $C D$ because it is not available to scan $A$ for the table $T_{B C}$. In Algorithm 3, the symbol $\gg i(\ll i)$ indicates that a $2 \times 64$-bit is shifted parallel to the right (left) by the $i$-bit, and $\oplus(\wedge)$ indicates a 128 bitwise logical exclusive OR (logical AND). In step 2, we make the table $T_{B C}$ as previously, where | $* * *$ | $* *$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| indicates a |  | $2 \times 64$-bit. In step 3 , we scan $A$ every 4 bits to select the appropriate polynomial on the table $T_{B C}$, then $H$ retains the upper 64 bits of $A B$ and $A C$, and $L$ retains the lower 64 bits, as follows. $H \leftarrow A B_{\mathrm{h}}\left|A C_{\mathrm{h}}, L \leftarrow A B_{1}\right| A C_{1}$. In step 4, reductions of $A B$ and $A C$ from degree 116 to degree 64 can be accomplished as:

$$
L \leftarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline A B_{\mathrm{h}} \times\left(x^{11}+\cdots+x^{5}\right)+A B_{1} & A C_{\mathrm{h}} \times\left(x^{11}+\cdots+x^{5}\right)+A C_{\mathrm{l}} .
\end{array}
$$

In step 5, the remaining terms of $A B$ and $A C$ from degree 63 to degree 59 can be reduced, finally to zero in step 6 .

### 3.4 Another Choice of Irreducible Polynomial

In Algorithm 3, we use $\mathbb{F}_{259}$ defined by the heptanomial $x^{59}+x^{6}+x^{5}+x^{4}+$ $x^{3}+x+1$. The performance of finite field operation generally depends on weight (number of nonzero coefficients) of irreducible polynomial. The lowest weight of irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{59}}$ is pentanomial $F(x)=x^{59}+x^{7}+x^{4}+x^{2}+1$ [29]. Algorithm 3 can be extended to pentanomial case. We note that reduction step in Algorithm 3 depends on th 2nd highest degree $t$ of the irreducible polynomial. If $t$ satisfies the condition $t \geq 7,2 \times 64$-bit register overflows. Therefore, we need to modify the Algorithm 3.

In the case of $F(x)=x^{59}+x^{7}+x^{4}+x^{2}+1$, we use the following congruence:

$$
x^{64} \equiv x^{12}+x^{9}+x^{7}+x^{5} \bmod F(x)
$$

Using this congruence, $A B$ can be expressed as:

$$
A B \equiv A B_{\mathrm{h}} \times\left(x^{12}+x^{9}+x^{7}+x^{5}\right)+A B_{1} \bmod F(x)
$$

$A B_{\mathrm{h}}$ is 52 degree and $A B_{\mathrm{h}} \times x^{12}$ is 64 degree at most. Since MSB of $A B_{\mathrm{h}} \times x^{12}$ is overflowed, we should modify Step 4 and 5 in Algorithm 3 as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H & \leftarrow H \oplus\left(\left(H \wedge x^{52}\right) \gg 52\right) \\
L & \leftarrow L \oplus(H \ll 12) \oplus(H \ll 9) \oplus(H \ll 7) \oplus(H \ll 5) \\
L & \leftarrow L \oplus(L \gg 52) \oplus(L \gg 55) \oplus(L \gg 57) \oplus(L \gg 59) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In spite of extra step for the overflow bit, PMUL using pentanomial is faster than heptanomial case because low weight of irreducible polynomial reduces the cost of XOR and Shift operations in Step 4 and 5 in Algorithm 3.

## 4 Parallel Genus Three Hyperelliptic Curve Arithmetic with SIMD Operations

We reschedule manually long procedures of the Harley algorithm for genus three [30] into parallel sequences to apply the proposed finite field multiplication PMUL. Table 4 and 5 in the Appendices show the two-processor version of affine coordinate HECADD and HECDBL for genus three curves [30]. We assume that these parallel sequences have SIMD-style operations in order to be applicable not only the hardware implementation but also software implementation. In Table 4 and 5 , each column corresponds to an instruction. For these pairs which are expressed in the Table with the symbol $*$, we can use the proposed finite field multiplication PMUL, but for other cases, we compute sequentially via SMUL not in parallel via SSE2. We compare the non-parallel formulae [30] with our parallel formulae regarding calculation cost in Table 1. $M$, and $I$ are the time required for multiplication, inversion of the binary field, respectively. $P$ is the timing of the proposed finite field multiplication PMUL. Note that we estimate multiplication using the coefficient of curve parameter $f(x), h(x)$ as $1 M$.

Table 1. Number of PMUL, SMUL, and inversion of HECADD and HECDBL

|  | HECADD | HECDBL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sequential Arithmetic | $77 M+1 I$ | $78 M+1 I$ |
| Parallel Arithmetic | $30 P+17 M+1 I$ | $30 P+18 M+1 I$ |

## 5 Implementation Results

We present implementation results. All implementation was run under Windows XP on a 1.6 GHz Pentium 4 processor, using Microsoft VC++ 6.0 with inline assembler MMX and SSE2. We applied PMUL using SSE2 to the parallelized addition algorithm for genus three HECC over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{59}}$.

First, Table 2 presents timing results for operation in the fields $\mathbb{F}_{2^{59}}$. Irreducible polynomials are pentanomial and heptanomial shown in Sec. 3.4 and Sec. 3.1, respectively. Squaring and inversion are implemented via Algorithm 7
in [27] and Algorithm 10 in [27], respectively. We compare two types of finite field multiplication algorithm, SMUL and PMUL. If irreducible polynomial is pentanomial, PMUL is approximately $14 \%$ faster than twice SMUL operations. Note that PMUL using pentanomial irreducible polynomial is faster than that using heptanomial as we mentioned in the previous section. In addition, timing of a successive two instruction SMUL and squaring is 330 ns . On the other hand, timing of PMUL is 256 ns . Squaring is generally faster than multiplication, but in our experiment, we had better deal with squaring as multiplication because PMUL instructions including squaring, which mean finite field multiplication $A^{2}$ and $A B$ at the same time, is fast.

Second, Table 3 presents timing results of addition algorithm and scalar multiplication using binary method for genus three hyperelliptic curve $C_{1}$ shown in Sec. 3.1. The proposed method using PMUL is $11 \%$ faster than the conventional method.

Table 2. Timings of finite field operations over $\mathbb{F}_{2} 59$

| Irreducible Polynomial | SMUL $\times 2$ | PMUL | Squaring | Inversion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pentanomial | 290 ns | 256 ns | 158 ns | $1.29 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ |
| heptanomial | 288 ns | 249 ns | 158 ns | $1.18 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ |

Table 3. Timings of addition algorithm and scalar multiplication

|  | Addition | Doubling | Scalar Multiplication (176 bit, binary method) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| conventional | $15.9 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $14.7 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | 4.37 ms |
| proposed | $13.5 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $13.2 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | 3.91 ms |

## 6 Conclusion

We propose a software optimization method of the genus three addition algorithm of HECC by combining parallelized Harley Algorithm and the parallel finite field multiplication using SSE2. We achieved $11 \%$ faster scalar multiplication than the usual implementation. Our idea is based on one of good feature of HECC. Its smaller definition field than ECC has comparable size with recent CPU register size.

However, another major CPU, e.g. ARM processor, does not have the $2 \times 64$ SIMD instruction. It is a further work to optimize the Harley algorithm using SIMD instructions.
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## Appendices

Table 4. Parallel arithmetic of HECADD for genus three

| $\overline{\text { HECADD }}$ |
| :--- |
| 44M $+58 \mathrm{~A}+$ I with 16 var. |
| Input: |
| $D_{1}=\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ and $D_{2}=\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ with |
| $u_{1}=x^{3}+u_{12} x^{2}+u_{11} x+u_{10} ;$ |
| $u_{2}=x^{3}+u_{22} x^{2}+u_{21} x+u_{20} ;$ |
| $v_{1}=v_{12} x^{2}+v_{11} x+v_{10} ;$ |
| $v_{2}=v_{22} x^{2}+v_{21} x+v_{20} ;$ |
|  |
| $h(x)=x^{3}+h_{2} x^{2}+h_{1} x+h_{0} ;$ |
| $f(x)=x^{7}+f_{6} x^{6}+f_{5} x^{5}+f_{4} x^{4}$ |
| $\quad+f_{3} x^{3}+f_{2} x^{2}+f_{1} x+f_{0} ;$ |
| Output: |
| $D_{3}=\left(u_{3}, v_{3}\right)=D_{1}+D_{2}$ with |
| $u_{3}=x^{3}+u_{32} x^{2}+u_{31} x+u_{30} ;$ |
| $v_{3}=v_{32} x^{2}+v_{31} x+v_{30} ;$ |
| $R_{00} \leftarrow u_{12}$ |
| $R_{01} \leftarrow u_{11}$ |
| $R_{02} \leftarrow u_{10}$ |
| $R_{03} \leftarrow u_{22}$ |
| $R_{04} \leftarrow u_{21}$ |
| $R_{05} \leftarrow u_{20}$ |
| $R_{06} \leftarrow R_{04} \times R_{00} R_{07} \leftarrow R_{04} \times R_{02} *$ |
| $R_{08} \leftarrow R_{03} \times R_{01} R_{09} \leftarrow R_{03} \times R_{02} *$ |
| $R_{10} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{01} R_{11} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{00} *$ |
| $R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+R_{02} R_{04} \leftarrow R_{04}+R_{01}$ |
| $R_{02} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{05} R_{12} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{04} *$ |
| $R_{04} \leftarrow R_{04} \times R_{04}$ |
| $R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03}+R_{00} R_{10} \leftarrow R_{10}+R_{07}$ |
| $R_{13} \leftarrow R_{10} \times R_{04} R_{10} \leftarrow R_{10} \times R_{03} *$ |
| $R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+R_{06} R_{11} \leftarrow R_{11}+R_{09}$ |
| $R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+R_{08} R_{02} \leftarrow R_{02}+R_{10}$ |
| $R_{08} \leftarrow R_{03} \times R_{11}$ |
| $R_{11} \leftarrow R_{08} \times R_{11}$ |
| $R_{03} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{03} R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{02} *$ |
| $R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+R_{11} R_{04} \leftarrow R_{04}+R_{03}$ |
| $R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+R_{13} R_{08} \leftarrow R_{08}+R_{12}$ |
| $R_{00} \leftarrow u_{22}$ |
| $R_{01} \leftarrow u_{21}$ |
| $R_{03} \leftarrow R_{04} \times R_{01} R_{01} \leftarrow R_{04} \times R_{00} *$ |
| $R_{01} \leftarrow R_{01}+R_{08} R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03}+R_{02}$ |
| $R_{08} \leftarrow R_{08} \times R_{00}$ |
| $R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03}+R_{08}$ |
| $R_{00} \leftarrow v_{12}$ |
| $R_{02} \leftarrow v_{11}$ |
| $R_{06} \leftarrow v_{10}$ |
| $R_{07} \leftarrow v_{22}$ |
| $R_{08} \leftarrow v_{21}$ |
| $R_{09} \leftarrow v_{20}$ |
| $R_{00} \leftarrow R_{00}+R_{07} R_{02} \leftarrow R_{02}+R_{08}$ |
| $R_{06} \leftarrow R_{06}+R_{09} R_{07} \leftarrow R_{01}+R_{04}$ |
| $R_{08} \leftarrow R_{03}+R_{04} R_{09} \leftarrow R_{03}+R_{01}$ |
| $R_{01} \leftarrow R_{01} \times R_{02} R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03} \times R_{06}$ |
| $R_{02} \leftarrow R_{02}+R_{00} R_{06} \leftarrow R_{06}+R_{00}$ |
| $R_{07} \leftarrow R_{07} \times R_{02} R_{08} \leftarrow R_{08} \times R_{06}$ |

## HECADD

I 1 with 16 var.
nput:
$x^{3}+u_{12} x^{2}+u_{11} x+u_{10}$
$=x^{3}+u_{22} x^{2}+u_{21} x+u_{20}$
$v_{1}=v_{12} x^{2}+v_{11} x+v_{10}$;
$h(x)=x^{3}+h_{2} x^{2}+h_{1} x+h_{0} ;$
$f(x)=x^{7}+f_{6} x^{6}+f_{5} x^{5}+f_{4} x^{4}$

Output:
$D_{3}=\left(u_{3}, v_{3}\right)=D_{1}+D_{2}$ with
$+u_{31} x+u_{30}$
$v_{3}=v_{32} x^{2}+v_{31} x+v_{30}$
$R_{00} \leftarrow u_{12}$
$R_{02} \leftarrow u_{10}$
$R_{03} \leftarrow u_{22}$
$R_{04} \leftarrow u_{21}$
$R_{05} \leftarrow u_{20}$
$R_{06} \leftarrow R_{04} \times R_{00} R_{07} \leftarrow R_{04} \times R_{02} *$ $R_{03} \times R_{01} R_{09} \leftarrow R_{03} \times R_{02}$ $R_{05} \times R_{01} R_{11} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{00}$
$R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+R_{02} R_{04} \leftarrow R_{04}+R_{01}$
$R_{02} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{05} R_{12} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{04} *$
$R_{13} \leftarrow R_{10} \times R_{04} R_{10} \leftarrow R_{10} \times R_{03}$
$R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+R_{06} R_{11} \leftarrow R_{11}+R_{09}$
$R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+R_{08} R_{02} \leftarrow R_{02}+R_{10}$
$R_{11} R_{03} \times R_{11}$
$R_{03} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{03} R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{02} *$
$R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+R_{11} R_{04} \leftarrow R_{04}+R_{03}$
$R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+R_{13} R_{08} \leftarrow R_{08}+R_{12}$
$R_{01} \leftarrow u_{21}$
$R_{03} \leftarrow R_{04} \times R_{01} R_{01} \leftarrow R_{04} \times R_{00} *$
$R_{01} \leftarrow R_{01}+R_{08} R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03}+R_{02}$
$R_{08} \leftarrow R_{08} \times R_{00}$
$R_{08}$
$R_{0}$
$R_{02} \leftarrow v_{11}$
$R_{06} \leftarrow v_{10}$
$R_{08} \leftarrow v_{21}$
$R_{00} \leftarrow R_{00}+R_{07} R_{02} \leftarrow R_{02}+R_{08}$ $R_{06} \leftarrow R_{06}+R_{09} R_{07} \leftarrow R_{01}+R_{04}$ $R_{01} \leftarrow R_{01} \times R_{02} R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03} \times R_{06}$ $R_{02} \leftarrow R_{02}+R_{00} R_{06} \leftarrow R_{06}+R_{00}$ $R_{07} \leftarrow R_{07} \times R_{02} R_{08} \leftarrow R_{08} \times R_{06}$

| $R_{02} \leftarrow R_{02}+R_{06} R_{07} \leftarrow R_{07}+R_{01}$ |
| :--- |
| $R_{02} \leftarrow R_{02} \times R_{09} R_{00} \leftarrow R_{00} \times R_{04}$ |
| $R_{02} \leftarrow R_{02}+R_{01} R_{09} \leftarrow R_{01}+R_{08}$ |
| $R_{02} \leftarrow R_{02}+R_{03} R_{09} \leftarrow R_{09}+R_{03}$ |
| $R_{07} \leftarrow R_{07}+R_{00} R_{09} \leftarrow R_{09}+R_{00}$ |
| $R_{04} \leftarrow u_{22}$ |
| $R_{10} \leftarrow u_{21}$ |
| $R_{11} \leftarrow u_{20}$ |
| $R_{12} \leftarrow R_{00} \times R_{04} R_{13} \leftarrow R_{00} \times R_{10} *$ |
| $R_{12} \leftarrow R_{12}+R_{07} R_{09} \leftarrow R_{09}+R_{13}$ |
| $R_{10} \leftarrow R_{10}+R_{11} R_{02} \leftarrow R_{02}+R_{13}$ |
| $R_{13} \leftarrow R_{12} \times R_{11} R_{04} \leftarrow R_{12} \times R_{04} *$ |
| $R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03}+R_{13} R_{00} \leftarrow R_{00}+R_{12}$ |
| $R_{02} \leftarrow R_{02}+R_{13} R_{09} \leftarrow R_{09}+R_{04}$ |
| $R_{10} \leftarrow R_{10} \times R_{00}$ |
| $R_{02} \leftarrow R_{02}+R_{10}$ |
| $R_{01} \leftarrow R_{09} \times R_{05} R_{06} \leftarrow R_{09} \times R_{09} *$ |
| $R_{01} \leftarrow 1 / R_{01}$ |
| $R_{04} \leftarrow R_{01} \times R_{05} R_{06} \leftarrow R_{01} \times R_{06} *$ |
| $R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{04}$ |
| $R_{12} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{05}$ |
| $R_{00} \leftarrow R_{04} \times R_{03} R_{01} \leftarrow R_{04} \times R_{02} *$ |
| $R_{08} \leftarrow R_{02} \times R_{00} R_{09} \leftarrow R_{02} \times R_{01} *$ |
| $R_{02} \leftarrow R_{02}+R_{01} R_{09} \leftarrow R_{09}+R_{04}$ |
| $R_{07} \leftarrow R_{04} \times R_{00} R_{04} \leftarrow R_{04} \times R_{01} *$ |
| $R_{08} \leftarrow R_{08}+R_{04} R_{09} \leftarrow R_{09}+R_{00}$ |
| $R_{11} \leftarrow R_{10} \times R_{00} R_{13} \leftarrow R_{10} \times R_{01} *$ |
| $R_{07} \leftarrow R_{07}+R_{13} R_{08} \leftarrow R_{08}+R_{10}$ |
| $R_{04} \leftarrow u_{22}$ |
| $R_{14} \leftarrow u_{21}$ |
| $R_{10} \leftarrow R_{02}+R_{01} R_{03} \leftarrow R_{14}+R_{09}$ |
| $R_{10} \leftarrow R_{10}+R_{04} R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03}+R_{00}$ |
| $R_{13} \leftarrow R_{10} \times R_{04} R_{15} \leftarrow R_{10} \times R_{14} *$ |
| $R_{13} \leftarrow R_{13}+R_{03} R_{15} \leftarrow R_{15}+R_{12}$ |
| $R_{04} \leftarrow R_{01} \times R_{02} R_{14} \leftarrow R_{01} \times R_{09} *$ |
| $R_{13} \leftarrow R_{13}+R_{04} R_{15} \leftarrow R_{15}+R_{14}$ |
| $R_{03} \leftarrow R_{01} \times h_{2} \quad R_{04} \leftarrow R_{01} \times R_{08} *$ |
| $R_{13} \leftarrow R_{13}+R_{05} R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03}+h_{1}$ |
| $R_{01} \leftarrow R_{01}+h_{2} R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03}+R_{00}$ |
| $R_{01} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{01} R_{03} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{03} *$ |
| $R_{15} \leftarrow R_{15}+R_{01} R_{04} \leftarrow R_{04}+R_{03}$ |
| $R_{01} \leftarrow R_{00} \times R_{02} R_{03} \leftarrow R_{00} \times R_{09} *$ |
| $R_{15} \leftarrow R_{15}+R_{01} R_{04} \leftarrow R_{04}+R_{03}$ |
| $R_{05} \leftarrow u_{22}$ |
| $R_{14} \leftarrow u_{21}$ |
| $R_{01} \leftarrow R_{13} \times R_{05} R_{03} \leftarrow R_{13} \times R_{14} *$ |
| $R_{15} \leftarrow R_{15}+R_{01} R_{04} \leftarrow R_{04}+R_{03}$ |
| $R_{15} \leftarrow R_{15}+R_{08} R_{04} \leftarrow R_{04}+R_{07}$ |
| $R_{00} \leftarrow u_{20}$ |
| $R_{03} \leftarrow R_{00} \times R_{10}$ |
| $R_{15} \leftarrow R_{15}+R_{00} R_{04} \leftarrow R_{04}+R_{05} \times R_{15}$ |
| $R_{04} \leftarrow R_{04}+R_{03}$ |
| $R_{00} \leftarrow u_{12}$ |
| $R_{03} \leftarrow R_{00}+f_{6}$ |

Table 4. Parallel arithmetic of HECADD for genus three - continued


Table 5. Parallel arithmetic of HECDBL for genus three

| HECDBL |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $43 \mathrm{M}+55 \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{I}$ with 16 var. |  |
| Input:$\begin{aligned} & D_{1}=\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \text { with } \\ & u_{1}=x^{3}+u_{12} x^{2}+u_{11} x+u_{10} \\ & v_{1}=v_{12} x^{2}+v_{11} x+v_{10} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} h(x)= & x^{3}+h_{2} x^{2}+h_{1} x+h_{0} \\ f(x)= & x^{7} \\ & +f_{6} x^{6}+f_{5} x^{5}+f_{4} x^{4} \\ & +f_{3} x^{3}+f_{2} x^{2}+f_{1} x+f_{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Output: } \\ & D_{3}=\left(u_{3}, v_{3}\right)=2 D_{1} \text { with } \\ & u_{3}=x^{3}+u_{32} x^{2}+u_{31} x+u_{30} \\ & v_{3}=v_{32} x^{2}+v_{31} x+v_{30} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $R_{00} \leftarrow u_{12}$ |  |
| $R_{01} \leftarrow u_{11}$ |  |
| $R_{02} \leftarrow u_{10}$ |  |
| $R_{06} \leftarrow h_{1} \times R_{00} \quad R_{07} \leftarrow h_{1} \times R_{02}$ |  |
| $R_{08} \leftarrow h_{2} \times R_{01} \quad R_{09} \leftarrow h_{2} \times R_{02}$ | * |
| $R_{10} \leftarrow h_{0} \times R_{01} \quad R_{11} \leftarrow h_{0} \times R_{00}$ | * |
| $R_{05} \leftarrow R_{02}+h_{0} \quad R_{04} \leftarrow R_{01}+h_{1}$ |  |
| $R_{02} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{05} R_{12} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{04}$ | * |
| $R_{04} \leftarrow R_{04} \times R_{04}$ |  |
| $R_{03} \leftarrow R_{00}+h_{2} \quad R_{10} \leftarrow R_{10}+R_{07}$ |  |
| $R_{13} \leftarrow R_{10} \times R_{04} R_{10} \leftarrow R_{10} \times R_{03}$ |  |
| $R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+R_{06} R_{11} \leftarrow R_{11}+R_{09}$ |  |
| $R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+R_{08} R_{02} \leftarrow R_{02}+R_{10}$ |  |
| $R_{08} \leftarrow R_{03} \times R_{11}$ |  |
| $R_{11} \leftarrow R_{08} \times R_{11}$ |  |
| $R_{03} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{03} R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05} \times R_{02}$ | * |
| $R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+R_{11} R_{04} \leftarrow R_{04}+R_{03}$ |  |
| $R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+R_{13} R_{08} \leftarrow R_{08}+R_{12}$ |  |
| $R_{03} \leftarrow R_{04} \times R_{01} R_{01} \leftarrow R_{04} \times R_{00}$ |  |
| $R_{01} \leftarrow R_{01}+R_{08} R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03}+R_{02}$ |  |
| $R_{08} \leftarrow R_{08} \times R_{00}$ |  |
| $R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03}+R_{08}$ |  |
| $R_{00} \leftarrow u_{12}$ |  |
| $R_{02} \leftarrow u_{11}$ |  |
| $R_{06} \leftarrow u_{10}$ |  |
| $R_{07} \leftarrow v_{12}$ |  |
| $R_{08} \leftarrow v_{11}$ |  |
| $R_{09} \leftarrow v_{10}$ |  |
| $R_{10} \leftarrow R_{07} \times R_{07} R_{11} \leftarrow R_{07} \times h_{1}$ | * |
| $R_{12} \leftarrow R_{00}+f_{6} \quad R_{13} \leftarrow R_{07}+f_{5}$ |  |
| $R_{14} \leftarrow R_{12} \times R_{02} R_{15} \leftarrow R_{12} \times R_{00}$ | * |
| $R_{13} \leftarrow R_{13}+R_{15} R_{15} \leftarrow R_{10}+f_{4}$ |  |
| $R_{13} \leftarrow R_{13}+R_{02} R_{15} \leftarrow R_{15}+R_{08}$ |  |
| $R_{08} \leftarrow h_{2} \times R_{08} \quad R_{10} \leftarrow h_{2} \times R_{07}$ | * |
| $R_{14} \leftarrow R_{14}+R_{06} R_{08} \leftarrow R_{08}+R_{09}$ |  |
| $R_{15} \leftarrow R_{15}+R_{14} R_{07} \leftarrow R_{07}+f_{5}$ |  |



Table 5. Parallel arithmetic of HECDBL for genus three - continued

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{R_{12} \leftarrow R_{12}+R_{03}} \\
& R_{03} \leftarrow v_{12} \\
& R_{04} \leftarrow v_{11} \\
& R_{05} \leftarrow v_{1} \\
& R_{09} \leftarrow R_{09}+R_{01} R_{08} \leftarrow R_{08}+R_{10} \\
& R_{00} \leftarrow R_{02} \times R_{01} R_{13} \leftarrow R_{02} \times R_{10} * \\
& R_{14} \leftarrow R_{02} \times R_{12} \\
& R_{12} \leftarrow R_{12}+R_{07} R_{14} \leftarrow R_{14}+R_{11} \\
& R_{00} \leftarrow R_{00}+R_{08} R_{13} \leftarrow R_{13}+R_{12} \\
& R_{00} \leftarrow R_{06} \times R_{00} R_{13} \leftarrow R_{06} \times R_{13} * \\
& R_{14} \leftarrow R_{06} \times R_{14} R_{15} \leftarrow R_{06} \times R_{09} * \\
& R_{15} \leftarrow R_{15}+1 \quad R_{00} \leftarrow R_{00}+h_{2} \\
& R_{13} \leftarrow R_{13}+h_{1} \quad R_{14} \leftarrow R_{14}+h_{0} \\
& R_{00} \leftarrow R_{00}+R_{03} R_{13} \leftarrow R_{13}+R_{04} \\
& R_{14} \leftarrow R_{14}+R_{05} R_{04} \leftarrow R_{15}+f_{6} \\
& R_{02} \leftarrow R_{15} \times R_{15} R_{03} \leftarrow R_{15} \times h_{1} \\
& R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03}+R_{10} R_{02} \leftarrow R_{02}+R_{04} \\
& R_{04} \leftarrow R_{00} \times R_{00} R_{05} \leftarrow R_{00} \times h_{2} \quad * \\
& R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03}+R_{04} R_{06} \leftarrow R_{01}+f_{5} \\
& R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03}+f_{4} \quad R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+R_{13} \\
& R_{07} \leftarrow R_{15} \times h_{2} \\
& R_{06} \leftarrow R_{06}+R_{07} R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03}+R_{05} \\
& R_{15} \leftarrow R_{15}+1 \quad R_{06} \leftarrow R_{06}+R_{00} \\
& R_{01} \leftarrow R_{02} \times R_{01} R_{04} \leftarrow R_{02} \times R_{15} \\
& R_{03} \leftarrow R_{03}+R_{01} R_{04} \leftarrow R_{04}+R_{00} \\
& R_{05} \leftarrow R_{15} \times R_{06} R_{07} \leftarrow R_{15} \times R_{03} * \\
& R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+R_{13} R_{07} \leftarrow R_{07}+R_{14} \\
& R_{04} \leftarrow R_{04}+h_{2} \quad R_{05} \leftarrow R_{05}+h_{1} \\
& R_{07} \leftarrow R_{07}+h_{0} \\
& u_{32} \leftarrow R_{02} \\
& u_{31} \leftarrow R_{06} \\
& u_{30} \leftarrow R_{03} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
v_{32} \leftarrow R_{04} \\
v_{31} \leftarrow R_{05}
\end{array} \\
& v_{31} \leftarrow R_{05} \\
& v_{30} \leftarrow R_{07}
\end{aligned}
$$

